

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.124261074

STRATEGIC EMPLOYER BRANDING AND EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: AN INTEGRATED MARKETING AND HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT APPROACH

¹Dr. Pratap Raghunath Desai, ²Dr. Mandeep Kour, ³Dr. Chandrika C.S, ⁵Jonita Dcosta, ⁶Dr. Yesha Mehta, ⁷Alpeshkumar Hasmukhlal Ganatra and ⁸Dr. Pulkit Marwah

¹Associate Professor, Department of management studies, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University) Pune, Institute of management and Rural development administration (IMRDA), Sangli

¹pratap646@rediffmail.com

²College of Business Studies, COER University, Uttarakhand

³D.Litt Scholar, Nagaland Central University, Nagaland

⁴Research Scholar, GITAM (Deemed to be University), Rudraram, Telangana, India – 502329

⁴ORCID: 0009-0007-2117-5992.

⁵Thakur Global Business School, Mumbai, Maharashtra

⁶Associate Professor, Thakur Institute of Management Studies and Research, Mumbai, Maharashtra

⁷Assistant Professor, Faculty of management studies, Marwadi University, Rajkot

⁸Assistant Professor, Symbiosis Institute of Business Management (SIBM) Nagpur, constituent of Symbiosis International (Deemed University), Nagpur, Maharashtra, India

Received: 11/11/2025

Accepted: 25/04/2026

Corresponding Author: Dr. Pratap Raghunath
(pratap646@rediffmail.com)

ABSTRACT

In the knowledge economy, understanding human capital as an economic resource has driven organizations to develop a strong employer brand and promote employee engagement, with a particular focus on their recruitment, retention, productivity, and long-term organizational performance. Although employer branding developed out of the marketing discipline, it is seen as a multi-disciplinary specialism concerning human resource management, organization studies, and corporate communications. Employee involvement takes on a special importance in employee retention, creativity and organizational performance. The aim of the study is to build upon and broaden the value of employer branding with employee involvement in coherent marketing and human resource management activities. Employer branding, employee engagement, internal branding, digital transformation, leadership effect, and employee experience and brand experience congruency are conceptualized in detail. This research presents a framework to represent the relationship between employee value proposition, organizational culture, internal employer branding, leadership styles, and employee engagement outcomes through an integrated approach. It concludes that a proper fit between the external employer brand and the employee experience will lead to more engaged employees, lower retention rates, stronger organizational identity and better organizational performance. Furthermore, the study adds to the research on the relationship between employer branding and employee engagement by arguing that both concepts should be studied in conjunction.

KEYWORDS: Employer Branding, Employee Engagement, Human Resource Management, Strategic Marketing, Internal Branding, Organizational Culture, Employee Experience, Talent Management

1. INTRODUCTION

In the context of globalizing market places, new information technology, demographic shifts and labour market mobility, organizations compete not only on product or technology, but on their capacity to obtain, involve and retain high quality employee assets on the global labour market. This resulted in an increasing planned emphasis on employer branding and employee engagement in a number of industries.

An employer brand is a brand that represents a company as an employer. The phrase is thought to have been first used in a research paper by Ambler and Barrow in 1996. It was described as a unique blend of marketing strategies and human resource management principles employed to shape the employer brand and value proposition of a company [1]. The concept of employer branding has since developed from a recruitment advertisement to a broader organizational strategy. This modern definition takes into account not only the organizational reputation but also employee experiences and the overall workforce culture.

Apart from that, employee engagement is considered one of the most important factors for organizational effectiveness. Engaged employees tend to be more productive in their jobs, become more emotionally attached to the organization, are more innovative and display more optimism when interacting with their customers. Greater employee engagement is associated with less turnover, more organizational altruism, and higher organizational profitability.

For many organizations, employer branding and employee engagement activities are treated as separate functions. The company's marketing department would typically be responsible for external communications about the employer brand, whereas the human resources function would manage the employee experience by focusing on employee engagement, retention, and workplace atmosphere of the employment brand. This split within the organization may lead to conflicts between promises made by the employer to the external public and the reality for employees.

This has been further propagated by the rise of digital and social media platforms where employees write reviews and ratings of their work, such as LinkedIn, Glassdoor, Indeed, and others. Corporate communication, employee testimonials, and initiatives showing the credibility of the employer

have hence become the foundation for the employer brand.

Therefore, very little research has been undertaken to explore the nature of the relationship between employer branding and employee engagement, particularly using an interdisciplinary approach of marketing theory and human resource management theory, to develop an understanding of the extent of the overlap between these two concepts.

By outlining this interrelation, the study contributes to the employer branding and employee engagement literature through a conceptual model involving an employer value proposition, the organizational culture, organizational leadership, communication systems and employee experience. The study also concludes that the employer brand is based less on brand marketing activities but more on employees' perceptions and engagement.

2. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS OF EMPLOYER BRANDING

2.1 Evolution of Employer Branding

The term employer branding originated in the 1990s, as organizations began to recognize that attracting and retaining quality employees was important in a knowledge economy. Ambler and Barrow defined employer branding as the package of functional, economic and psychological benefits provided by employment, which are identified with the employing company. [1] This view also introduced brand building concepts to the field of human resource management.

Employer branding has historically been seen in the context of recruitment marketing or talent acquisition. Companies would market themselves as employers, with a goal of differentiating their employer brand from competitors to attract candidates. To attract potential employees in a competitive labour market, employer branding should consider employee experience, organizational culture, leadership behavior, employee voice, and employee participation.

Modern employer branding actors embed a more subtle two-way relationship between employers and employees in the workplace rather than unidirectional employer and employee communication. The scope of an employer brand has evolved into internal branding, which means operating on employees' attitudes, values and behaviors to represent the company's identity and calculated direction and not just being employees

but being brand ambassadors for the external corporate identity.

2.2 Employer Value Proposition

It includes the employer value proposition (EVP), which is a unique set of concrete and intangible perks, opportunities, experiences and core organizational values that employees receive in exchange for their skills and competencies.

An effective employee value proposition includes both concrete and intangible rewards. The concrete components include pay, career advancement, job security, and employee benefits. The intangible components include the organization's values, access to leadership, work life harmony, purpose, flexibility, and opportunities for career advancement and growth.

Table 1. Dimensions of Employer Branding

Dimension	Description	Strategic Importance
Economic Value	Salary, benefits	Attraction and retention
Development Value	Career growth	Employee motivation
Social Value	Workplace relationships	Engagement and belonging
Psychological Value	Purpose and identity	Organizational commitment
Work-Life Value	Flexibility and balance	Employee well-being

Organizations are increasingly acknowledging that employees consider their organizations through multiple lenses, including purpose and impact, diversity and inclusivity, mental health and wellbeing, expectations and opportunity, and growth. Where customary E-V-Ps broadly referenced compensation and benefits with less focus on the broader value proposition of employment, modern E-V-Ps focus on authenticity, flexibility, and wellness.

2.3 Internal and external employer branding

Employer branding has an internal aspect and an external dimension. The external dimension is the promotion of a company's employer brand to potential hires through recruitment marketing, an online presence, brand image and workplace reputation, and competition among companies to attract and retain talent (talent positioning). Internal employer branding is based on the principles of the organization with the focus to align, engage and create a happy and healthy workplace culture of the organization's personnel. If external and internal branding are not aligned, the employer offering is not authentic. It is argued that breaking such a promise, especially from an external employer, negatively impacts trust, employee turnover, reputation and buy-in from employees. Thus, it becomes clear that genuineness is a critical component of employer branding, and that employer-branding communications must be congruent with the actual employee experience.

3.1 Defining Employee Engagement

Employee engagement is the emotional, cognitive and behavioral engagement and attachment that employees have to their jobs and organizations. Employees are proud to work and devote themselves to the organization and perform their jobs with zeal and enthusiasm.

Kahn defined engagement as the harnessing of organizational members' selves to their work roles. This means the expression of their physical, cognitive and emotional resources to their work roles. Subsequent definitions have included work enthusiasm, discretionary effort, organizational commitment, and organizational affective commitment.

In a similar context, researchers often differentiate job satisfaction from employee engagement, where job satisfaction refers to the amount of happiness an employee feels in their job and engagement measures the level of commitment.

3.2 Employee Engagement Dimensions

Workforce involvement considers emotional, intellectual, and action-oriented aspects.

Emotional Engagement

Emotional involvement is the employees' emotional attachment to the organization and their emotions of respect and appreciation as well as their commitment to the mission.

Mental Involvement

Intellectual engagement means being interested in what is going on and understanding this in relation to organizational objectives and the individual's efforts to achieve these. Employees who are

3. EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT: CONCEPTS AND DIMENSIONS

intellectually engaged are vigilant, flexible and planned in problem solving.

Active Participation

Behavioral engagement refers to discretionary effort, proactivity, cooperation, and assistance not explicitly required for in-role behaviors.

In general high levels of engagement are positively related to high levels of innovation potential, customer satisfaction, operational efficiency, and employee retention.

Table 2. Drivers of Employee Engagement

Engagement Driver	Organizational Impact
Leadership Quality	Trust and motivation
Communication Transparency	Organizational alignment
Recognition Systems	Commitment and morale
Career Development	Retention and growth
Psychological Safety	Innovation and collaboration
Flexible Work Practices	Work-life integration

Leadership acts as a precursor to engagement development, by fostering employee trust and sense of belonging. A leader may inhibit engagement development when job demands are too high and communication is poor, and employees' efforts are not recognized.

As the digital world and remote working grow in importance, organizations are seeking new solutions to address the unique challenges associated with collaboration, inclusivity, and connectivity in an increasingly online, remote, and hybrid work environment.

4. ALIGNING EMPLOYER BRANDING AND EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT STRATEGIES

4.1 Interdependence of employer branding and engagement

Employer branding and employee engagement are interrelated. The image of an employer sets the context for the expectations of job seekers while employee engagement shapes the experience of each employee once they join the organization.

When an individual's experience matches the assurance given by the organization's identity, the individual is probably going to have a higher organizational commitment and trust than when the two are inconsistent.

Employee engagement is closely related to the employer brand. Engaged employees champion the employer brand by advocating and sharing online employer experiences, referring potential candidates, telling employers' stories on digital

3.3 Employee involvement factors

Internal factors affecting employee engagement may include managerial quality, the nature of communication, organization and culture, compensation and reward/recognition systems, promotion opportunities, psychological safety and support, and flexibility and responsiveness of the workplace.

review sites, and shaping overall employers' reputation through discussion in social media.



Figure 1. Relationship Between Employer Branding and Employee Engagement

4.2 Internal Branding as a Strategic Mechanism

A linked intermediary between employer branding and employee engagement is internal branding, defined as the attempt to synchronize employee behaviors and employee values with the brand, by means of communication, strong leadership, a supportive organizational culture and training and development of employees.

Employees also brand through their daily experiences with customers and by describing their

companies externally. Internal branding must identify organizational values, a future planned direction for the organization, and the behavior that employees should take during brand-related situations, that is, how employees should behave as representatives of the organization.

Components of internal branding include training, recruitment, communication from management, storytelling, recognition programs, and brand activities that are used to strengthen an organizational culture.

4.3 Organizational culture and employee experience

A strong organizational culture makes up a key part of employer branding and the employee experience as it impacts on the employee's relationship with the organization and communication, inclusion, trust and behavior.

A positive organizational culture makes a company much more appealing to existing and potential employees. More collaboration, emotional safety, inclusion, flexibility and principled leadership in the workplace are in high demand.

According to this model, the employee experience becomes the lens through which all other human resources processes, including hiring, onboarding, development, communication, leadership, recognition, and exit, are viewed, defined, and delivered.

Companies that create positive, productive experiences for their employees engage them and create an authentic employer brand that draws and keeps the best talent.

5. DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION AND EMPLOYER BRANDING

5.1 Social media and organizational reputation .

Digital changes to employer branding include the rise of social media sites such as LinkedIn, Glassdoor, Indeed, and X (formerly known as Twitter) to which current and potential employees can provide reviews of the company's culture and their experience.

Thus, the image of an organization is driven more by the messages created by its employees than by the company itself.

Organisations are increasingly pressured to align employer branding to outside branding and want to improve employee experience with regard to reputation in the digital era .

5.2 Data analytics and HR technology

Organisations have adopted HR analytics and other digital technologies to assess employee engagement, employee sentiment, retention and employer branding, among other human resource-related goals.

Predictive analytics, pulse surveys, sentiment analysis, and employee feedback provide organisations with data and perception on employees, in real-time, for human resource management or workforce planning for the future of work.

Conversational recruiting tools based on artificial intelligence and automation continue to evolve, improving candidate interaction, evaluation, onboarding and employee experience .

5.3 Hybrid Work and Engagement Challenges

Employers working in hybrid or remote environments face additional complexities in employer branding and employee engagement, including difficulties in creating a unified corporate identity and fostering collaboration, communication, and a sense of community among employees who may be working in different locations or in a hybrid remote/in-office arrangement .

Employers can become more attractive by offering flexible work arrangements, as workers often wish for choice and control, technology to support their activities, and a positive balance between work and home life .

Organizations will need to find new ways of working with people in terms of communication, leadership availability, virtual collaboration and employee well-being .

6. Integrated Conceptual Framework

This document outlines a model of employer branding and employee engagement comprising five interconnected components:

1. Proposition of Value for Employers
2. Corporate Ethos
3. Guidance and Dialogue
4. Workforce Engagement
5. Results of Engagement

Employers must create a credible EVP which is aligned with organizational culture and organizational strategy. This creates an employer brand with the most meaningful impact being from the behavior of organizational leaders and the systems of organizational communication that

communicate the Promise through the employee's experience in the organization, the most important



message.

Figure 2. Integrated Employer Branding and Employee Engagement Framework

Furthermore, positive experiences of employees help to fulfill the promises that the employer makes to its employees and support the attachment, trust, identification and discretionary effort of the employees in the organization.

The framework proposes that employee engagement will be an important factor determining the external employer brand outcomes of employee advocacy, organizational reputation, retention strategies and attractiveness in the labor market.

Thus, employer branding and employee engagement need to be thought of as planned frameworks, rather than simply managerial functions.

7. MANAGERIAL IMPLICATIONS

For organisations seeking growth, aligning employer branding and employee engagement is imperative in establishing and obtaining a sustainable competitive edge.

When developing Employee Value Propositions, organisations should adopt language that reflects their culture rather than adopting a formulaic or promotional tone. This would help build trust by managing the reality versus the expectation divide. Moreover, leadership development should be a critical part of the employer branding strategy as employees' perceptions are influenced by their leaders' actions.

Organizations must manage the employee journey adequately in recruitment and onboarding, training, performance management, recognition, and career development that is aligned with the organization's core values and the employee's needs and expectations.

Fourthly, organizations need to establish metrics to evaluate the engagement through surveys, metrics, feedback, and communication channels.

Finally, combining marketing and HR functions into a single working group to implement the employer branding concept could transform it into a calculated organizational asset extending beyond recruitment marketing by including marketing communications, organizational culture, leadership, and the whole employee experience across the organization.

8. DISCUSSION

Changes such as employer branding combined with employee engagement represent a fundamental shift in management philosophy. Human resources management has customarily been functional, focusing on the functions of managing people. Marketing then moved on to business model innovation, which focuses on creating a business model that meets the needs and wants of the customer. These days, most organizations have learned that their reputations are determined by employees and customers and their perceptions.

Thus, the internal culture and experiences of employees, and thereby employees themselves, are becoming increasingly important, not as passive resources, but as active contributors to the organization brand through online and offline relationships and social capital.

Table 3. Traditional HRM vs Integrated Employer Branding Approach

Traditional HRM Approach	Integrated Branding-HRM Approach
Administrative focus	Strategic workforce positioning
Recruitment-driven	Employee experience-driven
Internal communication only	Multi-channel brand communication
Employee retention focus	Employee advocacy and engagement

Departmental responsibility	Cross-functional integration
-----------------------------	------------------------------

Additionally, it appears companies cannot rely solely on recruitment marketing programs to build and strengthen their employer brand. Instead, sustainability-oriented companies must focus on building their brand in their employees' experiences of the company and engaging with their employees. The results reinforce how a multidisciplinary approach is required, going beyond marketing (brand positioning, communication strategy, and reputation management) to consider HRM aspects including workforce development, behavioral dynamics, and employee engagement.

Nevertheless, organizations continue to struggle with creating consistency amongst geographically dispersed operations, workplace flexibility, age diversity in the workforce, and changing expectations of the workplace from employees.

With no relevant organizational changes to back it up, excessive emphasis on branding risks creating or reinforcing unrealistic employee expectations and/or having a negative impact on the organisation's credibility.

The workplace strategy is a holistic approach to determining the workplace requirements of an organisation and is defined by the effective integration of technology, leadership, communication, organizational culture and employee wellbeing.

9. RURAL EMPLOYMENT, EMPLOYER BRANDING, AND WORKFORCE ENGAGEMENT IN EMERGING ECONOMIES

Migration for employment opportunities have historically played a significant role in influencing economic and social structural transformation, particularly in rural India, seasonal and permanent migrant workforces contribute substantially to sectors like agriculture urban and rural activities. However migrant workers continue to encounter with numerous invisible and unrecognized challenges in their everyday lives adversely affecting their social and economic well-being. Internal migration triggered two complementary processes: the structural transformation of employment from agriculture to non-agricultural industries and services, and economic growth associated with urbanization. Rural-to-urban migration is often viewed as an equilibrating movement of labour form rural sector characterized by surplus labour toward modern industrial sectors located in cities. This transformation contributes to capital accumulation, industrial expansion, and urban economic growth. (Selod & Shilpi, 2021).

Simultaneously, agricultural enterprises, rural industries, cooperatives, micro and small-scale industries, and local self-governance institutions face significant challenges related to talent magnetism, workforce retaining, employee engagement, and organizational sustainability. Rural labour markets are often natured by migration pressures, inadequate professional opportunities, informal employment structures, structural bottlenecks or physical constraints, and comparatively obscurity within the organization. Consequently, organizations operating in rural regions frequently struggle to attract skilled employees and retain young talent.

In many developing countries educated rural youth are increasingly immigrating to urban centers in pursuit of better employment opportunities, professional advancement higher income and improved working conditions This growing trend has resulted in a significant rural talent drain weakening agricultural productivity rural entrepreneurship local governance institutions and decentralized development system As a consequence, rural communities encounter considerable challenges in achieving sustainable socio economic development and inclusive growth. addressing these concerns and the well-being of migrant workers has become increasingly important. In this context, employer branding and employee engagement, traditionally examined within urban corporate structures, have gained relevance in rural employment systems as well.

In this context, Indian rural immigration can be analysed with the provided data. Employment Situation in the Country The authentic data source of employment/unemployment indicators in India at present is the Periodic Labour Force Survey (PLFS) conducted by the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation since 2017-18. The annual PLFS reports for the year 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019- 20, 2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23 are available in the public domain. Prior to PLFS i.e., before 2017-18, National Statistical Office (NSO), Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, used to conduct quinquennial Employment and Unemployment surveys.

Last such survey was done in year 2011-12. A.1 Employment data based on PLFS Reports The PLFS aims to provide quarterly changes of various indicators of Labour market for urban areas and the annual estimate of different labour force indicators for both rural as well as urban areas at State/UT

and all India level. The survey period of PLFS survey is 1st July to 30th June of next year.

As per annual PLFS report, the Labour Force participation Rate (LFPR), Worker Population Ratio

(WPR) and Unemployment Rate (UR) in usual status for persons of age 15 years and above were as follows:

Year	WPR (%)	LFPR (%)	UR (%)
2017-18	46.8	49.8	6.0
2018-19	47.3	50.2	5.8
2019-20	50.9	53.5	4.8
2020-21	52.6	54.9	4.2
2021-22	52.9	55.2	4.1
2022-23	56.0	57.9	3.2

The above table indicates that Labour Force and Work Force in the country increased steadily and on the other hand Unemployment Rate has declined.

Ratio (WPR) and Unemployment Rate (UR) according to usual status for persons of age 15 years and above in Rural sector were as follows:

Employment Indicators for Rural Sector Labour Force participation Rate (LFPR), Worker Population

Years	WPR (Male)	WPR (Female)	WPR (Total)	LFPR (Male)	LFPR (Female)	LFPR (Total)	UR (Male)	UR (Female)	UR (Total)
2017-18	72.0	23.7	48.1	76.4	24.6	50.7	5.7	3.8	5.3
2018-19	72.2	25.5	48.9	76.4	26.4	51.5	5.5	3.5	5.0
2019-20	74.4	32.2	53.3	77.9	33.0	55.5	4.5	2.6	3.9
2020-21	75.1	35.8	55.5	78.1	36.5	57.4	3.8	2.1	3.3
2021-22	75.3	35.8	55.6	78.2	36.6	57.5	3.8	2.1	3.2
2022-23	78.0	40.7	59.4	80.2	41.5	60.8	2.7	1.8	2.4

➤ Provided data table indicates Labour Force and work force in rural areas of the country which is increased.

➤ Unemployment rate has declined to 2.4% in rural areas during 2022-23

(Source: Employment and Unemployment Scenario of India Directorate General of Employment (https://dge.gov.in/sites/default/files/2024-06/Employment_Situation_in_India_April2024.pdf)

In this context, various survey and reports, has consistently seemed as one of the top human resource/talent trends in the last five years employer branding assumes strategic importance not only for multinational corporations but also for rural institutions, public-sector organizations, non-governmental organizations, rural enterprises, information and technology. Employee engagement in rural employment environments are based on various factors such as social identity, effective leadership and implementations, community connectivity and development, local participation and representation, and socio-cultural relationships.

In contrast to highly structured corporate workplaces, rural organizations often depend on mutual understanding, collective involvement, and strong social bonds. Hence, organizational cultural and leadership quality practices an essential role in enhancing employee efficiency, and fostering organizational commitment.

In the other aspects, digital transformation and innovation have also played a crucial role and starts reshaping rural employment ecosystems. Enhancement of digital governance, rural entrepreneurship platforms, flexible or remote-work opportunities, and digital skill-based ingenuities drastically influence workforce aspirations in rural areas. Government initiates promoting digital inclusion, self-employment, small scale industries, rural entrepreneurship, and local skill development further contribute to changing employment expectations among rural people.

At its core, place or effective rural employer branding involves assigning distinct meaning and value for effective transformative role in advancing and proclaiming the sustainable development goals,

target audiences, with Human Recourse policies by impacting on rural-urban migration, empowering local employment ecologies, nurturing inclusive policy and economic growth, with the expanding workforce opportunities for women and marginalized societies (Logar,E.2025). In this context organizational functioning in rural areas need to develop flexible employee branding approaches that encourage social commitment, community participation, economic stability, and inclusive workforce growth.

The amalgamation of employer branding and employee engagement within rural employment structures represents an emerging research area requiring greater academic and institutional attention. Future research may provide targeted guidance for HR professionals and leaders in the Information Technology and non-information technology sector, deepening perceptions into human resources dynamics, particularly focusing rural workforce engagement ideas and implementations, decentralized organizational practices, digital inclusion in rural employment, and the role of local governance institutions in shaping sustainable employer-brand identities and initiatives.

10. CONCLUSION

This research makes a contribution to the employer branding and employee engagement literature from an integrated marketing and human resource management perspective. It argues that employer

branding and employee engagement are relevant organizational constructs to attract and retain talent, to improve organizational reputation and productivity, and to create long-term competitive advantage for organisations.

Findings suggest that real Employer Value Propositions, a deliberate organizational culture, and organizational leadership, communication practices, and employee experiences are linked to employees' engagement and the employer brand.

It also introduced a model for the interrelatedness of employer branding, organizational culture, leadership, employee experience and engagement outcomes.

Recent research shows that organizations that align their external communications with the internal experience of their employees get markedly better results with respect to employee engagement, building organizational trust, or improving their employer brand.

Future research can explore the employer branding-employee engagement relationship over longer periods, consider contextual factors such as the role of digitization in the workplace, AI-based employee communication systems, and cross-cultural employer branding.

A well-designed employer branding approach, therefore, is not only relevant to the hiring process, but also aligned to other organizational activities such as marketing, leadership, culture, and employee experience management.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ambler, T., & Barrow, S. (1996). The employer brand. *Journal of Brand Management*, 4(3), 185–206.
- [2] Saks, A. M. (2006). Antecedents and consequences of employee engagement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 21(7), 600–619.
- [3] Punjaisri, K., & Wilson, A. (2011). Internal branding process: Key mechanisms, outcomes and moderating factors. *European Journal of Marketing*, 45(9/10), 1521–1537.
- [4] Backhaus, K., & Tikoo, S. (2004). Conceptualizing and researching employer branding. *Career Development International*, 9(5), 501–517.
- [5] Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(4), 692–724.
- [6] Cable, D. M., & Turban, D. B. (2003). The value of organizational reputation in the recruitment context: A brand-equity perspective. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 33(11), 2244–2266.
- [7] Edwards, M. R. (2010). An integrative review of employer branding and OB theory. *Personnel Review*, 39(1), 5–23.
- [8] Mosley, R. (2007). Customer experience, organisational culture and the employer brand. *Journal of Brand Management*, 15(2), 123–134.
- [9] Schaufeli, W. B., Bakker, A. B., & Salanova, M. (2006). The measurement of work engagement with a short questionnaire. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 66(4), 701–716.
- [10] Harter, J. K., Schmidt, F. L., & Hayes, T. L. (2002). Business-unit-level relationship between employee satisfaction, employee engagement, and business outcomes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(2), 268–279.
- [11] Albrecht, S. L. (2010). *Handbook of Employee Engagement: Perspectives, Issues, Research and Practice*. Edward Elgar Publishing.

- [12] Barrow, S., & Mosley, R. (2011). *The Employer Brand: Bringing the Best of Brand Management to People at Work*. Wiley.
- [13] Berthon, P., Ewing, M., & Hah, L. L. (2005). Captivating company: Dimensions of attractiveness in employer branding. *International Journal of Advertising*, 24(2), 151–172.
- [14] CIPD. (2021). *Employee Engagement and Motivation Factsheet*. Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development.
- [15] Deloitte. (2020). *Global Human Capital Trends Report*.
- [16] Gallup. (2023). *State of the Global Workplace Report*.
- [17] Minchington, B. (2010). *Employer Brand Leadership: A Global Perspective*. Collective Learning Australia.
- [18] Moroko, L., & Uncles, M. D. (2008). Characteristics of successful employer brands. *Journal of Brand Management*, 16(3), 160–175.
- [19] Sharma, R., & Prasad, A. (2018). Employer branding: Strategy for improving employer attractiveness. *Global Business Review*, 19(1), 1–14.
- [20] Tanwar, K., & Prasad, A. (2016). The effect of employer brand dimensions on job satisfaction. *Management Decision*, 54(4), 854–886.
- [21] Selod, Harris, and Forhad Shilpi. (2021). "Rural-Urban Migration in Developing Countries: Lessons from the Literature." *Regional Science and Urban Economics* 91: 103713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2021.103713>
- [22] Logar, E. (2025). Place branding as an approach to the development of rural areas: A systematic analysis of Web of Science "geography" literature. *GeoJournal*, 90(4), Article 195. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10708-025-11448-9>