SCIENTIFIC CULTURE
www.sci-cult.com

SCIENTIFIC CULTURE, Vol. 12, No. 4, (2026), pp. 9952-9955
Open Access. Online & Print

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.12426972

TECHNOLOGICAL EVOLUTION IN POST-TRAUMATIC REHABILITATION: SOCIAL AND FUNCTIONAL IMPLICATIONS OF EXOSKELETON-ASSISTED RECOVERY IN SPINAL INJURIES

Boymurodov Gulomjon Abdugafforovich[1], Yadgarova Shokhsanam Sharafovna[2], Nasriddinov S. Z.[3]

[1]National Center for Rehabilitation and Prosthetics of Persons with Disabilities of the Republic of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, Address: 5a Darkhonteppa Street, M. Ulugbek District, Tashkent, 100047, Uzbekistan
[2]Tashkent State Medical University, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, Address: 2 Farobiy Street, Tashkent, 100109, Uzbekistan
[3]Specialized School named after Muhammad al-Khwarizmi, Tashkent, Uzbekistan, Address: Makhtumkuli Street, Yashnabad District, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Received: 15/12/2025
Accepted: 30/01/2026

Corresponding Author: Boymurodov Gulomjon Abdugafforovich (email)

Abstract

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a major cause of permanent disability and functional dependence worldwide, resulting in profound physical, psychological, and social consequences. Conventional rehabilitation approaches often provide limited recovery of locomotor function, especially in patients with severe neurological impairment. In recent years, rapid advancements in rehabilitation engineering and wearable robotic technologies have introduced exoskeleton-assisted therapy as an innovative strategy for post-traumatic neurorehabilitation. The present study aimed to analyze the technological evolution of robotic exoskeleton systems and evaluate their functional, physiological, and psychosocial impact on individuals with spinal cord injuries. A comprehensive review of contemporary scientific literature indexed in international databases was conducted, focusing on neuroplasticity mechanisms, gait restoration, mobility improvement, functional independence, and social adaptation associated with exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation. The findings indicate that robotic exoskeletons significantly improve gait performance, balance control, lower-limb motor activity, cardiovascular endurance, and postural stability. Furthermore, repetitive task-oriented robotic training promotes neural reorganization and stimulates neuroplastic recovery processes. Exoskeleton-assisted mobility also contributes to enhanced psychological well-being, increased self-confidence, improved social participation, and greater independence in activities of daily living. Despite challenges related to high cost, limited accessibility, and the need for specialized rehabilitation infrastructure, wearable robotic technologies demonstrate substantial clinical and social potential in restorative medicine. The integration of artificial intelligence, adaptive control systems, and personalized rehabilitation protocols may further optimize future exoskeleton-based interventions. Exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation represents a promising and rapidly evolving direction in modern neurorehabilitation, offering new opportunities for improving long-term outcomes and quality of life in patients with spinal cord injuries.

KEYWORDS: spinal cord injury, exoskeleton, robotic rehabilitation, neuroplasticity, gait recovery, functional independence, social adaptation, post-traumatic rehabilitation.

1 INTRODUCTION

Spinal cord injury (SCI) is a severe neurological condition associated with partial or complete disruption of sensory, motor, and autonomic pathways below the level of injury. SCI often results in paralysis, impaired mobility, chronic pain, muscle atrophy, and reduced quality of life [1]. According to global epidemiological data, millions of individuals worldwide live with long-term consequences of spinal injuries, creating substantial medical, social, and economic burdens [2].

Traditional rehabilitation methods for SCI have primarily focused on physiotherapy, occupational therapy, muscle strengthening, compensatory training, and prevention of secondary complications [3]. Although these interventions remain essential, functional recovery after SCI is often limited, especially in severe injuries. Consequently, technological innovations have become increasingly important in neurorehabilitation.

The rapid development of robotic rehabilitation systems has significantly transformed the field of restorative medicine. Among these technologies, wearable powered exoskeletons have emerged as one of the most promising tools for locomotor rehabilitation [4]. Exoskeletons are robotic devices designed to support standing, walking, balance, and repetitive gait training in individuals with neurological impairments [5].

Recent studies demonstrate that exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation may stimulate neuroplasticity, improve motor function, and enhance functional independence in patients with SCI [6]. Task-specific repetitive movements provided by robotic systems can activate preserved neural pathways and facilitate sensorimotor recovery [7]. Furthermore, robotic gait training allows patients to engage in upright mobility, which contributes to cardiovascular, musculoskeletal, and psychological health benefits [8].

Exoskeleton-assisted walking has also been associated with improved bowel and bladder function, reduced spasticity, prevention of pressure ulcers, and increased bone density [9]. Importantly, robotic rehabilitation may reduce therapist workload while providing intensive and standardized gait training sessions [10].

Beyond physical rehabilitation, the social implications of exoskeleton technology are increasingly recognized. Patients with SCI often experience social isolation, depression, unemployment, and reduced participation in community activities [11]. Restoration of mobility and standing ability through robotic systems may significantly improve social interaction, self-esteem, emotional well-being, and quality of life [12].

Several clinical trials have demonstrated positive functional outcomes associated with exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation. Tsai et al. reported that exoskeleton-assisted walking during inpatient rehabilitation improved motor and sensory recovery in SCI patients compared with standard therapy [13]. Similarly, randomized studies have shown improvements in walking independence and mobility among patients using robotic exoskeletons [14].

Modern rehabilitation approaches increasingly combine exoskeleton systems with neuromodulation techniques, electrical stimulation, virtual reality, and artificial intelligence-based adaptive training programs [15]. Such integrated strategies may further enhance neuroplasticity and functional recovery.

Despite these promising developments, several challenges remain. High equipment costs, limited accessibility, prolonged training requirements, and technological adaptation difficulties restrict widespread implementation of exoskeleton rehabilitation [16]. In addition, long-term clinical effectiveness and cost-efficiency require further investigation.

Therefore, understanding both the functional and social implications of exoskeleton-assisted recovery is essential for the future development of rehabilitation medicine. The purpose of this article was to analyze the technological evolution of robotic exoskeleton rehabilitation and evaluate its impact on functional recovery and social reintegration in patients with spinal cord injuries.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was conducted as a narrative analytical review of contemporary scientific literature dedicated to exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation in spinal cord injuries. Scientific publications indexed in international medical databases, including PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and ScienceDirect, were analyzed.

The inclusion criteria consisted of clinical trials, systematic reviews, randomized controlled studies, and rehabilitation reports related to exoskeleton technologies in SCI rehabilitation. Studies evaluating

motor recovery, gait restoration, functional independence, neuroplasticity, and psychosocial outcomes were included.
The analyzed parameters included walking speed, balance, postural stability, muscle activation, cardiovascular response, quality of life, social adaptation, emotional state, and rehabilitation effectiveness.
Comparative analysis and synthesis methods were applied to evaluate technological trends and rehabilitation outcomes.

3 RESULTS

The analysis demonstrated that exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation significantly improves functional mobility in patients with spinal cord injuries. Most studies reported improvements in gait parameters, standing ability, balance control, and walking endurance.
Robotic gait training was associated with enhanced lower-limb muscle activation and improved sensorimotor coordination. Repetitive task-oriented movements stimulated neuroplastic mechanisms and contributed to functional recovery in incomplete SCI patients.
Several investigations showed improvements in Spinal Cord Independence Measure (SCIM) scores and walking performance indicators after exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation programs. Functional gains were particularly evident in patients with preserved residual neural pathways.
Positive systemic effects were also observed. Upright walking reduced secondary complications associated with prolonged wheelchair use, including osteoporosis, pressure ulcers, muscle atrophy, and cardiovascular deconditioning.
Psychological and social outcomes demonstrated substantial improvement. Patients reported increased self-confidence, emotional stability, motivation for rehabilitation, and participation in social activities. Exoskeleton-assisted mobility contributed to better social integration and improved quality of life.
However, technological limitations were identified. High costs, limited availability, prolonged adaptation periods, and the need for specialized rehabilitation centers remain important barriers to widespread clinical use.

4 DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation represents a major technological advancement in modern neurorehabilitation. Unlike traditional passive rehabilitation methods, robotic exoskeletons provide active repetitive locomotor training capable of stimulating neural reorganization and promoting motor recovery.
The ability to restore upright mobility has significant physiological importance. Standing and walking improve circulation, respiratory function, musculoskeletal loading, and metabolic activity. These systemic effects may reduce long-term complications associated with immobility in SCI patients.
Neuroplasticity appears to be one of the key mechanisms underlying functional improvement during robotic rehabilitation. Intensive gait training activates sensorimotor networks and may facilitate recovery of preserved spinal pathways. Combination with neuromodulation and electrical stimulation technologies may further enhance rehabilitation outcomes.
The psychosocial implications of exoskeleton-assisted recovery are equally important. Mobility restoration directly affects independence, communication, emotional well-being, and self-perception. Even partial improvements in hand function, posture, or walking ability may substantially improve daily living activities and social participation.
Despite these benefits, ethical and socioeconomic considerations remain important. Accessibility to robotic rehabilitation technologies is limited in many countries due to financial constraints and insufficient healthcare infrastructure. Broader implementation will require technological optimization, cost reduction, and development of specialized rehabilitation programs.
Future rehabilitation systems may integrate artificial intelligence, adaptive biomechanical control, virtual reality environments, and personalized neurostimulation protocols to maximize recovery potential.

5 CONCLUSION

Technological evolution in post-traumatic rehabilitation has significantly expanded the possibilities for functional recovery in patients with spinal cord injuries. Exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation provides an innovative approach that combines robotic mobility support, repetitive locomotor training, and neuroplastic stimulation.
The use of robotic exoskeletons contributes not only to improvements in gait function, balance, mobility, and physiological health, but also to enhanced psychological adaptation, self-confidence, and social reintegration. Restoration of upright mobility may substantially improve quality of life and

independence in individuals living with spinal injuries.

Although current limitations related to accessibility, cost, and technological adaptation remain significant, continuous advancements in rehabilitation engineering and neuroscience are expected to improve the effectiveness and availability of robotic rehabilitation systems.

Exoskeleton-assisted recovery represents a promising direction for the future of neurorehabilitation and restorative medicine. Further large-scale clinical investigations are required to optimize rehabilitation protocols, evaluate long-term outcomes, and expand clinical implementation of these advanced technologies.

Recent clinical studies support the growing role of exoskeleton-assisted rehabilitation in improving functional recovery, neuroplasticity, and quality of life among patients with spinal cord injuries.

REFERENCES

1. Kirshblum S, et al. International standards for neurological classification of spinal cord injury. *J Spinal Cord Med.* 2011;34(6):535–546.
2. World Health Organization. Spinal cord injury fact sheet. Geneva: WHO; 2023.
3. Harvey L. *Management of Spinal Cord Injuries: A Guide for Physiotherapists.* Elsevier; 2008.
4. Louie DR, Eng JJ. Powered robotic exoskeletons in post-stroke rehabilitation. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2016;95(4):295–304.
5. Dollar AM, Herr H. Lower extremity exoskeletons and active orthoses. *IEEE Trans Robot.* 2008;24(1):144–158.
6. Calabrò RS, et al. Robotic gait rehabilitation and spinal cord injury. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2016;13:89.
7. Morawietz C, Moffat F. Effects of locomotor training after SCI. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2013;10:77.
8. Esquenazi A, et al. The ReWalk powered exoskeleton. *PM&R.* 2012;4(11):911–921.
9. Ginis KAM, et al. Health and quality of life outcomes following exoskeleton use. *Spinal Cord.* 2018;56:628–639.
10. Sale P, et al. Robot-assisted walking training in SCI patients. *Eur J Phys Rehabil Med.* 2012;48(1):111–119.
11. Craig A, et al. Psychological aspects of spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2015;53:651–659.
12. Kinnett-Hopkins D, et al. Social participation after SCI rehabilitation. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2017;98(6):1142–1149.
13. Tsai CY, et al. Exoskeleton-assisted locomotor rehabilitation in SCI. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair.* 2020;34(5):441–450.
14. Benson I, et al. Randomized controlled trial of robotic gait rehabilitation. *Spinal Cord.* 2016;54(12):1118–1123.
15. Donati AR, et al. Long-term neurorehabilitation with brain-machine interfaces. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:30383.
16. Gorgey AS. Robotic exoskeletons and recovery after SCI. *Curr Phys Med Rehabil Rep.* 2018;6:9–17.
17. Stampacchia G, et al. Functional outcomes of wearable powered exoskeletons. *Assist Technol.* 2016;28(2):70–78.
18. Miller LE, Zimmermann AK, Herbert WG. Clinical effectiveness of powered exoskeletons. *Med Devices.* 2016;9:455–466.
19. Kolakowsky-Hayner SA, et al. Safety and feasibility of exoskeleton-assisted walking. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;94(9):1697–1701.
20. Yang A, Asselin P, Knezevic S. Spinal cord injury rehabilitation using robotic exoskeletons. *J Clin Neurosci.* 2015;22(5):781–788.