

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.20379340

HUMAN RESOURCE PRACTICES AND EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT IN DIGITALLY TRANSFORMING WORK ENVIRONMENTS: PERSPECTIVES ON DIGITAL CULTURE, ETHICS, AND SOCIETAL CHANGE

Advocate Varun Goel^{1*}, Santosh Ramdas Shivane², Dr. Rajesh Kumar Panda³, Dr. Amit Singh⁴, Dr. Sidharth Jain⁵, Dr Priyanka Bhatt⁶, Raj Kumar Singh⁷

¹(Human Resource Management), Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University), Mullana–Ambala, Haryana, India /Criminal Defence Counsel, District Court, Jagadhri, Haryana, India / Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh, India

²SAP S/4HANA HCM & SuccessFactors Solution Architect Department: School of Management studies, Commerce and Management University: Kavayitri Bahinabai Chaudhari North Maharashtra University, Jalgaon, Maharashtra, India

³Kareer School, Affiliation: Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology, Bhubaneswar

⁴Affiliation-HR and Mkt, Madhav University, Sirohi, Rajasthan

⁵Institute of Business Management and Commerce (IBMC), Mangalayatan University, Aligarh-202146 (U.P.) India

⁶Department: GTU School of Management Studies University Name: Gujarat Technological University

⁷School of Management Sciences, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India, Pincode: 221011

Received: 13/10/2025

Accepted: 03/01/2026

Corresponding Author: Advocate Varun Goel
(advocatevarungoel@gmail.com)

ABSTRACT

Digital transformation has fundamentally transformed the modern workplace not only due to its impact on technological structures but also due to the influence that it has on culture, morals, and relationships within society. In this review, the critical aspect of the human resource practices and their capacity to influence the employee engagement in the digitally transforming workplaces is critically discussed, and the interaction between the digital culture and the ethics and the social change in general is also considered. Using interdisciplinary research approaches in the social sciences, humanities, psychology and technology studies, the article summarizes the evidence regarding the beneficial effects of digital HR systems, algorithm management, and leadership practices on engagement, well-being, and organizational belonging. The review notes that engagement of the employees in the digital situations comes out as a process that is culturally instilled and ethically meditated as opposed to an outcome of individual or performance based. The key elements of reducing risks related to technostress, surveillance, and exclusion and facilitating sustainable engagement are named as ethical governance, trust and human-centered design. On a societal level, the analysis places digitally mediated workplaces in the context of continuing changes in the nature of labor practice, development of skills and cultural literacy. The results highlight why it is important to consider digital workplaces as locations of cultural production, moral negotiation and social responsibility.

Keywords: Digital Culture, Human Resource Practices, Employee Engagement, Ethics and Society, Digital Transformation

1 INTRODUCTION

The digital transformation has come to be one of the strongest forces of the contemporary societies not merely the technological frameworks, but also the cultural ideals, social connections and moral anticipations within the work place are restructured. The digital transformation is not a strictly technical change, but a new process with a multidimensional nature, which has the organizational forms, working patterns, and human relations reorganized so that the workplaces are a key production site of the digital culture and a negotiation site of a digital culture. With the organizations increasingly engaging in the daily processes of the implementation of sophisticated technologies, the questions of the human agency, values, and engagement become more topical in the context of the broader societal discourses.

Literature is now beginning to acknowledge the fact that the digital transformation also demands not only the implementation of the new tools, but it is also a matter of an interplay between personal, organizational, and institutional levels influencing the overall readiness to the changes and long-term sustainability (Trenerry *et al.*, 2021). It is in this dynamic paradigm that the human resource practices have emerged as the focal point in the mediation process of experiencing, interpreting, and entrenching the technological change in the day-to-day work life. HR systems can act as an administrative resource and cultural resources that influence the standards of participation, communication and being in the digitally mediated space.

The relevance of the worker engagement as the symptom of organizational dynamism in the digital world has been increased by the appearance of new mental, emotional, and relational pressures, which are linked to the employment patterns, that are highly technologically oriented. The problem of digital fatigue, persistent connectedness and information overload pose a growing risk to sustained engagement, with the type of leadership and social network proving to be an effective means of combating the negative consequences of digitally overloaded working environments (Zhang and Deng, 2025). These dynamics are used to emphasise the significance of examining engagement as being not an individual state of mind, but a social fact, which organisations design through culture, and technology, create.

The leadership component of digitalizing organizations also entrenches the component of culture of engagement where digitally competent leaders define the systems of meaning making, trust making and adaptive behaviors among employees.

According to empirical evidence, middle managers have a certain influential role in converting digital strategies into daily practices that enhance or inhibit employee engagement (Li *et al.*, 2024). The result of such a discovery is that leadership is the mediator between technological processes and human experience that is a culture.

The more significant popularity of digitally mediated work also increased the problems related to mental health, well-being, and behavioral adjustment, which provoked the interdisciplinary attention to the problem in the domains of psychology, medicine, and social sciences. The need to apply mental health considerations to organizational reactions to digital transformation is highlighted by contemporary research discourses are based on the idea that, without an ethical approach to digital transformation, the acceleration of technology can lead to increased stress, alienation and cognitive strain (International Conference on Mental Health and Behavioral Medicine [MHBM2023], 2024). These points make employee engagement an aspect of a broader social debate on the responsible and humane digital development.

Digital transformation processes produce non-homogeneous outcomes of engagement in contexts, organizational options, and on cultural circumstances in an organizational perspective. Comparative studies show that employee involvement in the process of digital transformation of enterprises can be attributed to the complicated interactions between technological uptake, managerial practices, and institutional settings and not to a cause-effect relation (Ye *et al.*, 2024). The latter observations attest to the fact that the analysis of digital workplaces must assume a holistic and culturally sensitive approach.

The ongoing development of the generation of artificial intelligence also complicates the case with human resource management, since it presupposes the integration of algorithmic decisions into the process of recruiting workers, appraisal and development process. Trust is identified as an essential mediating variable that determines how employees show the willingness to embrace AI-based HR practices and determining the perception of fairness, transparency, and legitimacy to the organization (Prasad and De, 2024). This trend foretells the purpose of ethics as the element of retaining the interest in digitally enhanced workplaces.

The variety of the available literature on the subject of digital human resources shows that the academic interest in learning about the redefinition of the role of HRs, organizational identities, and

labour relations grows. The systematic scans of digital HR literature indicate a progression of interest in cultural, ethical, and societal consequences, which indicates the need to switch to a more humanistic approach to the analytical approach (García-Fernández et al., 2024). This change is intertwined with the traditions of interdiscipline as per which technology may be regarded as a component of social and cultural systems.

Artificial intelligence ethics also give a wider framework of the digital workplaces within the general framework of the societal discourses of responsibility, independence, and the congruence of value. Although AI has a vast amount of potential in terms of innovation, it has ethical constraints and ethical governance issues that require critical thinking to avoid the loss of human dignity and social confidence (Hauer, 2022). These problems predetermine that ethics is a feature of sustainable digital culture and not a by-product.

The contemporary discussions of responsible innovation emphasize the significance of the reflexivity that would reveal the undesirable impacts of the introduction of AI in the organizational settings. Critiques of the emerging AI instruments emphasize that the inclusion of ethical perspectives, transparency, and social accountability into the digital transformation plans will help to keep the digital change in line with the overall human values (Polypartis and Pahos, 2024). These perceptions illustrate the moral aspect of technological transformation in the future work cultures.

Finally, as a last example, the appearance of the algorithmic control regimes on the platforms and digitally mediated workplaces exhibits the ambivalent nature of the technological governance. Though the efficiency and coordination can be improved with the help of algorithmic systems, they also threaten to limit the autonomy and increase the level of surveillance, which creates opposite effects on performance and interaction (Zhu et al., 2024). These tensions highlight the role of investigating the problem of digital transformation culturally and ethically that is capable of taking into account the enabling and limiting prospects of digital transformation. The aims of this review are:

- To critically examine how human resource practices shape employee engagement within digitally transforming work environments through the lenses of digital culture and ethics.
- To synthesize interdisciplinary evidence on the societal implications of digital transformation at work, emphasizing responsible governance and human-centered digital culture.

2. CONCEPTUAL FOUNDATIONS: CULTURE, WORK, AND DIGITAL SOCIETY

2.1 Culture in Organizational and Societal Contexts

Culture is a dynamic and social construction that determines the meaning, values, and behavioral patterns in organizations and society at large. Cultural frameworks in digitally transforming environments affect the way employees perceive technological change, how they cope with uncertainty, and how they change their work practices. The organizational culture is becoming more and more indicative of the realities of the societal environment, with its high levels of technological turbulence and competitiveness, which demands that employees should continuously re-tune their skills, expectations, and performance strategies. The empirical data show that workplace digitalization combines with the external technological volatility to transform job performance via adaptive processes, including job crafting, which means that culture acts as either an enabling or constraining environment of an individual agency (Liu et al., 2025).

The organizational level also aligns the interaction between digital systems and human experience through the mediating action of culture that influences norms around the autonomy, accountability, and performance evaluation. With digital tools speeding up work rates and information transfer, work demands on culture in terms of access and efficiency come to a greater, frequently having unintended effects on the well-being of the employees (Figure 1). According to research, organizational cultures that are digitally motivated have the potential to cause role overload and emotional burnout through integration of technology faster than cultural preparedness and enabling systems (Zhang et al., 2025). These results highlight the fact that organizational culture cannot be isolated of the societal digital pressures making culture a key spectacle to resist the effects of the digital transformation on work results and human sustainability.



Figure 1: Cultural Mediation of Digital Transformation between Society, Organizations, and Employee Work Experiences

2.2 Digital Culture and the Transformation of Work

Digital culture is a complex of meanings, practices, and symbols, which arise as a result of constant engagement with digital technologies in daily work life. This culture redesigns the structure, communication, and assessment of work, which is focused on speed, connectivity and the use of data in decision-making. In the digitally mediated workplaces, the human resource systems are central to the institutionalization of the digital culture in that it instills the technological logics in the performance management, collaboration and innovation processes. There is some evidence that digital-HRM practices provide both a stimulus to innovative performance and a facilitator of disengaging behavior, like cyberloafing, which depicts the ambivalence of digital cultural norms (Hu and Lan, 2024).

Change of work in the digital culture is not limited to individual behavior as it also involves

organization-level structures and productivity models. As shown in Table 1, digital transformation projects are increasingly being based on business process reengineering to guide the technological capabilities and organizational goals, change task coordination, skills requirements, and performance measures. The evidence shows that digital transformation helps increase the productivity of labor in the situation when process redesign contributes to the integration of human and technology as opposed to technological replacement alone (Zhou et al., 2025). This dynamic reinforces the fact that digital culture is transforming work on a symbolic level due to efficiency and innovation expectations and at the same time, restructuring the social experience of work, which confirms the necessity to adopt culturally sensitive approaches to digital transformation.

Table 1: Digital Culture, Work Transformation, and Societal Implications

Dimension of Digital Culture	Context of Application	Key Human Factor	Cultural / Ethical Implication	Reference
Work-life integration	Digitally mediated organizations	Work-family balance	Redefinition of engagement norms within digital culture	Žnidaršič and Bernik (2021)
Social media embedded work	Organizational communication ecosystems	Job satisfaction	Social interaction as a driver of digital engagement culture	Mohiya (2025)
Digital literacy	Higher education institutions	Adaptive competence	Cultural capacity for navigating technological complexity	Farias-Gaytan et al. (2023)
Generative AI governance	Academic and knowledge institutions	Ethical awareness	Institutional ethics shaping digital culture norms	Dabis and Csáki (2024)
Human-AI collaboration	AI-supported work systems	Emotional support	Human values moderating algorithmic work relations	Meng et al. (2025)

3. HUMAN RESOURCE PRACTICES IN DIGITALLY TRANSFORMING CONTEXTS

3.1 Evolution of Human Resource Practices in the Digital Age

The digital technologies have transformed the human resource practices by redefining organizational structures and labor relations. The conventional HR departments that traditionally operated based on administrative authority and adhering to the rules and procedures are becoming more engaged in digital mediated environments that favor data analysis, real-time coordination, and platform-mediated interaction. The development of digital capitalism is reflected in this change through which digital capitalism restructures work, value production, and institutional power and places HR as a strategic stakeholder within digitally networked

organizations (Grimshaw, 2017). When HR systems are integrated into digital systems, they lead to the building of organizational culture through normalization of speed, flexibility, and round-the-clock performance visibility. The practices define the perceptions of participation, responsibility, and recognition of employees, making the HR influence not only be felt within managerial processes but also within the cultural domain. Table 2 is a synthesis of major ethical and cultural aspects that define digital workplaces. As a result, the digital HR practices are not only technicalities, but also culture-within-society, which transfers the digital logics of society into daily work experiences, supporting the interdependence of the economic systems, technology, and organizational culture.

Table 2: Ethical, Social, and Cultural Dimensions of Digital Work Environments

Digital-Cultural Dimension	Organizational Context	Key Human Outcome	Reference
AI adoption and ethics	Digitally transforming organizations	Psychological safety and employee well-being	Kim et al. (2025)
Gender and digital economy	Technology-mediated labor systems	Inclusion and power relations	Grau-Sarabia & Fuster-Morell (2021)

Human capital and sustainability	Corporate digital governance	ESG-oriented cultural alignment	Cai et al. (2024)
Decent work frameworks	Higher education institutions	Engagement-driven innovation	Hassan et al. (2024)

3.2 AI, Automation, and Interactive Technologies in HR

The adoption of artificial intelligence, automation and interactive technologies in HR processes have posed even deeper debates around the agency, interpretation and ethical accountability in the digitally mediated working environment. Recruitment, evaluation, and learning decisions are increasingly being assisted by algorithmic systems and are redefining the distribution of human judgment between technological and organizational actors. Culturally, these systems are not impartial tools but a practice that needs to be interpreted, negotiated, and understood in context in the life of an organization (Zhang et al., 2024). The technical efficiency of AI-enabled HR processes is only one of the factors that contribute to employee involvement, as perceived legitimacy and cultural congruence are other issues (Figure 2). Empirical studies suggest that the assessment of organizational digital capability by employees depends on the perceived influence and engagement with digital systems and reflects the relational aspect of AI adoption (Zhou et al., 2024). The results highlight the fact that AI-based HR practices exist in the realm of technology and culture and ethics, and they need human-focused governance to maintain trust and social coherence.



Figure 2: Cultural-Ethical Mediation of AI, Automation, and Interactive Technologies in Human Resource Practices

4. EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT AS A CULTURAL AND ETHICAL CONSTRUCT

4.1 Reconceptualizing Employee Engagement in Digital Work Environments

Employee participation in the digital transformation of workplaces is not limited to conventional interpretation of performance, but needs to be redefined as a phenomenon based on culture and ethics in a mediated way. The digital workplaces depend more on mediated communication channels, which transform the ways employees feel that they are participating, belonging, and as a part of the institution. Empirical research in institutions of higher education is showing that engaging in internal communication practices has a significant impact on engagement as it affects trust, job satisfaction, and relational cohesion, which makes engagement as a socially constructed phenomenon, not an independent personal quality (Nguyen and Ha, 2023). Digitally, participation develops in the form of constant communication with organizational discourse, technological interface experiences and collaborative platforms that organize everyday working lives.

Digital mediation also changes the core aspects of engagement like autonomy and motivation by automatically adding algorithmic coordination and the visibility of performance to ordinary activities (Table 3). Such circumstances re-historicize the borders of agency by employees, and position the engagement at the crossroads of the technological design and cultural anticipations. The systematic reviews of the literature on technostress show that the state of rapid digitalization increases cognitive load and emotional stress, and the prolonged involvement is not easily established in case the culture-supporting institutions have not been developed (Bahamondes-Rosado et al., 2023). Therefore, the involvement into the digital workplaces should be perceived as a flexible cultural process which is influenced by the communicational practices, the pressure of technology and the ethical concerns of human sustainability.

Table 3: Cultural, Psychological, and Ethical Dimensions of Employee Engagement in Digital Work Contexts

Engagement Dimension	Work Context	Key Mediating Factor	Cultural / Ethical Implication	Reference
Job structure and meaning	Organizational job design	Psychological perception	Cultural interpretation of work roles and performance	Zareen and Mujtaba (2013)
Digital strain and balance	Crisis-driven digital work	Organizational support	Ethical responsibility for employee flourishing	Harunavamwe and Ward (2022)

Emotional sustainability	Remote work environments	Job stress and burnout	Cultural risks of prolonged digital isolation	Costin et al. (2023)
Academic digital work	Hybrid and remote academia	Individual-organizational fit	Redefinition of well-being norms in knowledge cultures	Capone et al. (2024)
Cultural adaptability	Organizational culture	Job crafting	Employee agency within digital cultural systems	Dasgupta and Dey (2021)

4.2 Psychological and Social Dimensions of Digital Engagement

The social and psychological aspects of employee engagement become particularly important in digitally mediated workplaces when individuals are constantly connected and the working process is accelerated further, the emotional and cognitive load increases. Online interaction is becoming more emotionally charged, more so a matter of identity negotiation, and adaptive coping mechanisms as workers cope with the performance pressures of technology. In an empirical study of frontline workers, technostress was found to have a detrimental impact on job performance, and individual self-efficacy moderates the effects of the former, highlighting the interaction between psychological resources and digital work conditions (Saidy et al., 2022). These results indicate that engagement is a precarious position that depends on individual strength and organizational environment.



Figure 3: Psychological and Social Pathways Shaping Employee Engagement in Digitally Mediated Work Environments

In addition to the psychology of individuals, the digital interaction is a product of general social processes, such as power relations in the form of surveillance technologies and performance monitoring systems. Digital technologies may both facilitate flexibility and support asymmetrical control, which ethically creates some issues with autonomy and dignity at work. The studies on the

positive technology point to the fact that ethically designed computerized systems can reduce the stress associated with technology by facilitating well-being, empowerment, and meaningful interaction instead of constant pressure (Brivio et al., 2018), as in Figure 3. Engagement, in this view, is a socio-ethical construct based on technological purpose, organizational ethics and values, and the focus of the human-centric design in digital cultures.

5. ETHICS, VALUES, AND HUMAN-CENTERED DIGITAL WORKPLACES

5.1 Ethical Challenges in Digitally Mediated HR Practices

Human resource practices being digitally mediated are now increasingly based on virtual coordination, algorithmic assessment and remote performance management which creates thorny ethical issues in terms of transparency, equity, and human responsibility. With telework becoming a standard form of labor, leadership practices have to balance physical distance by providing ethical competency, trust, and strategies of inclusion in communication that will not diminish the dignity of the employees. Empirical data prove that leading performance in virtual worlds needs to have leadership skills that specifically relate to ethical choice, relational responsibility, and value-based governance to alleviate the risks of depersonalization and surveillance (Bravo-Duarte et al., 2025).

The digitally mediated HR systems also compound the issues of equity and inclusion, especially in cases where digital metrics are standardized and, thus, do not consider contextual variations in employee experience. The problem of ethical issues arises when performance monitoring devices favor efficiency at the expense of well-being, which may support unequal power relations and marginalizing practices. Figure 4 reveals that ethics is a cultural protection mechanism through which digital HR practices can be kept in line with human-oriented values as opposed to instrumental objectives. These issues would be addressed by shifting the HR governance towards ethical reflexivity, participative design, and leadership responsibility that would recognise the employees not just as data points but as moral agents in the digital working cultures.



Figure 4: Ethical Challenges and Governance Mechanisms in Digitally Mediated Human Resource Practices

5.2 Global Human Values and Responsible Digital Transformation

Digital transformation should be accountable, and therefore, should be in line with the universal human values, including dignity, fairness, and psychological well-being. As digital work places expand both culturally and institutionally, organizations are proving to be arenas, where societal values are enacted and negotiated in the day-to-day work

practices. The empirical evidence that exists demonstrates that digital workplace has significant influence on employee attitudes and stress levels and provide a moral responsibility to organizations to establish the balance between human sustainability and productivity (Cavicchioli et al., 2025). The findings presented employee well-being as an ethical outcome rather than a secondary organizational concern.

In a more general social sense, human-oriented digital workplaces help to develop ethical culture of the digital society by instilling the values of care, respect, and responsibility in the organizational practice. A responsible change needs the following HR practices: integrative ethical leadership, supportive digital structures, and culturally aware policies that acknowledge diversity in employee needs and experiences as demonstrated in Table 4. The alignment between the digital transformation and the values embraced globally enhances organizational legitimacy and helps to build trust between the institutions and the society. In this context, online workplaces can be regarded as the spaces where the ethical innovation promoting not only the economic performance but also social cohesion and cultural sustainability can be developed.

Table 4: Human-Centred Digital Transformation and Work Engagement

Digital Transformation Dimension	Organizational Domain	Human-Cultural Outcome	Reference
Workplace digitalization	Public sector organizations	Sustained employee engagement through institutional trust	Zhan and Xie (2025)
Managerial digital tasks	Leadership and governance	Meaningful engagement in digitally mediated roles	Juyumaya and Torres (2023)
Human-AI integration	Strategic HR management	Ethical alignment between humans and intelligent systems	Fenwick et al. (2024)
e-HRM tool adoption	Digitally enabled organizations	Engagement through participatory digital practices	Stachová et al. (2024)

6. SOCIETAL CHANGE AND THE RECONFIGURATION OF WORK CULTURE

6.1 Digital Work Environments and Societal Transformation

Digital workplaces are increasingly turning into more of a social institution that alters the relationship of labor, culture and society (Borle et al., 2021). Remote work, platform-based employment and digitally mediated coordination have removed the traditional demarcation between work and personal life, and has re-enclosed the social form of organisation of work and the culture of valuing work. These changes can be said to be not only confined to the effectiveness of the organization, but also influence the quality of authority, collaboration, and accountability in digital societies. Digital HRM

practices, in adaptive structuration angle, contribute to reorganizing this by instantiating the technological structures into the everyday actions of the organization to affect the organizational behavior and the overall organizational performance (Wang et al., 2022). The definition of productivity and participation as digital systems is determined by culture as work becomes less related to physical space as in Figure 5. With this gesture, digital places of work emerge in the center stage where social transformation is produced that highlights the mediating effect of organizational practices on social change in the broader social structure, economic relations and cultural expectation of work.



Figure 5: Societal and Cultural Transformations Emerging from Digitally Mediated Work Environments

6.2 Implications for Education, Skills, and Cultural Literacy

The restructuring of the work culture in a society has huge consequences on education, skill

acquisition and cultural literacy among digital societies. With the processes of organizations redefined by digital technologies, their employees need competencies that are more comprehensive in terms of their focus, rather than on technical skill, but on flexibility, ethical reasoning, and sensitivity to the cultural aspects. Digital competence is becoming a kind of cultural capital that helps people to traverse the complex, technology-mediated worlds, preserving significant involvement. Studies prove that electronic human resource management systems promote the idea of sustainable competitive advantage through the element of innovation capacity and the ability of organizations to respond to change, and this fact strengthens the need to engage in constant learning within digital cultures (Alqarni et al., 2023). These interactions highlight the need to have lifelong learning models that incorporate moral perception and competency acquisition. The role of educating cultural literacy that balances the interests of technological progress and social responsibility in the organization is therefore shared between educational institutions and organizations (Table 5). In this respect, digital transformation is not only an economic necessity but a cultural initiative that forms the future trends of knowledge, labor, and the interaction of society.

Table 5: Education, Skills, and Cultural Literacy in Digitally Transforming Work Environments

Digital Capability Dimension	Educational / Skill Domain	Cultural-Societal Implication	Reference
Digital HRM maturity	Organizational learning systems	Structuration of digital competence as cultural capital	Wang et al. (2022)
e-HRM adoption	Continuous skill development	Innovation-oriented learning culture	Alqarni et al. (2023)
Algorithmic HR decision-making	Strategic analytical skills	Reconfiguration of professional judgment norms	Alabdali et al. (2024)
Algorithmic management exposure	Ethical awareness in digital labor systems	Vignola et al. (2023), Sun (2023)	

7. INTERDISCIPLINARY PERSPECTIVES FROM ARTS, HUMANITIES, AND SOCIAL SCIENCES

Interdisciplinary knowledge of art and the humanities and social sciences is important to interpreting digitally changing workplaces, particularly where algorithmic systems are being implemented in meditating an increasingly greater share of human experience. Regarding sociocultural point of view, algorithmic management repeats the meanings of autonomy, care, and well-being in the workplace daily, developing new forms of emotional and psychological exposure, that cannot be explained by technical efficiency. Empirical research data has revealed that the concept of algorithmic control systems has significant effects on the well-being of employees due to its ability to transform the feeling of equity, control and organizational support, which confirms the presence of human-centered

perceptions of digital work systems (Zayid et al., 2024).

The above based on humanities study can also be value added as it expounds on the way the stories of leadership and symbolic practices position creativity and performance at the digital level. The concept of digital leadership is more management based, a cultural practice that helps in the making of generational identities and values and expectations in technologically flooded workplaces. It is noted that leadership styles influence the expression of creativity and performance differently depending on the generational difference that places a high value on cultural distinctiveness of digital interaction and innovation process (Öngel et al., 2024).

Technostress in a more general social science context is a phenomenon of extreme of technology, power, and human weakness in the contemporary

organization. The data of the scientific metric analysis indicate that the technostress is a cultural symptom of the digitalization intensification processes pointing toward tensions between productability requirements and human constraints (Bondanini *et al.*, 2020). Together, these cross-disciplinary observations resulted in the process of digital transformation being cultural and ethical, rather than technological. With such a combination of humanities and social sciences, one can have a better insight into the digital work cultures that revolve around meaning-making, ethical responsibility and human sustainability in evolving digital societies, which can be discussed in Figure 6.



Figure 6: Interdisciplinary Pathways Integrating Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences in Interpreting Digital Work Cultures

8. POLICY, GOVERNANCE, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

8.1 Cultural and Ethical Policy Implications

The digital conversion of work environments is changing the nature of job governance and demands an alternative way of governing the job operations that transcends beyond regulatory compliance to cultural and moral facets of the human resource behaviour. The policies of digital HR systems and algorithmic decision-making and remote working, must be founded on transparency, accountability, and respect of the human dignity. The actors that determine the digital work culture are institutions which institutionalize the ethical principles within organizational culture, leadership and in technological design. The control over culture in particular is what is necessary in the case of digital tools impacting the overall process of employee assessment, communication and autonomy since these tools actively instill values and behavior. The ethical policy frameworks should also subsequently

be humanistic in design, participatory in their governance and constantly subject to ethical evaluation to minimize the dangers of exclusion, surveillance and psychological harm. To establish balanced innovation and social responsibility of the society, organizations can be used in shaping of responsible digital culture by aligning the governance to the values of the society.

8.2 Future Research Directions

Further studies are necessary to elucidate the digital work environment by exploring the issue of agency in the workforce in a more algorithmic and data-driven organizational system. More research should be focused on the way people can negotiate autonomy, identity, and ethical limits in digitally mediated work cultures. The most appropriate line of research inquiry is interdisciplinary research which combines social sciences, humanities, psychology, and technology research in order to articulate the cultural diversity of the digital transformation. Comparative and longitudinal studies between sectors and regions can enlighten the way in which digital culture is developed under different types of institutional and societal circumstances. Also, further research on ethical governance systems and the effectiveness of such systems in fostering trust, inclusion and well-being should be examined in future.

9. CONCLUSION

This review has elaborated human resource practices and employee engagement in digitally transforming workplaces as being incorporated in the prism of digital culture, ethics, and societal change. The analysis has revealed that the workplaces are cultural spaces and moral spaces where the interactive relations of technological systems with human values and social relations and institutional norms exist, rather than perceiving digital transformation as a technological process. It is stated that the human resource practices are regarded as the most important processes through which the digital logics are subjected into the daily working experience and influence the way the employees feel as an active part, independent, and belonging to the digitally mediated environments. The findings that are combined in the fields show that the involvement of employees in the digital spaces cannot be cut down to a product of particular motivation or technological efficiency alone since it is a process that is anchored in the culture that is preconditioned by the leadership practices, communication within an organization, ethical

governance, and psychological sustainability. The identical digital technologies and algorithmic platforms cause both novelty and the new form of vulnerability, and this is the reason to engage in human-centered approaches that create a balance of performance requirements and the welfare and dignity. The aspect of ethical concerns and outcomes in particular, transparency, fairness, and inclusion are found to be the foundations of trust and engagement in digitally augmented workplaces. At a broader level, the digital work environments are placed within the context of the review, to the current changes that are taking place in the society re-

defining labor, skills and cultural literacy. The existence of organizational activities in the digital space and global aspect contributes to the creation of the contemporary digital culture and social values, which are promoted by the activities of organizations. The relevance of this current study lies in its ability to justify the significance of cultural and ethical enquiry when it comes to the digital transformation by circumventing the interdisciplinary strategies of the social sciences and humanities in terms of foregrounding such strategies.

REFERENCES

- 2023 International Conference on Mental Health and Behavioral Medicine (MHBM2023). (2024). *Medicine*, 103(14), e37368. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000037368>
- Alabdali, M. A., Khan, S. A., Yaqub, M. Z., & Alshahrani, M. A. (2024). Harnessing the power of algorithmic human resource management and human resource strategic decision-making for achieving organizational success: An empirical analysis. *Sustainability*, 16(11), 4854. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16114854>
- Alqarni, K., Agina, M. F., Khairy, H. A., Al-Romeedy, B. S., Farrag, D. A., & Abdallah, R. M. (2023). The effect of electronic human resource management systems on sustainable competitive advantages: The roles of sustainable innovation and organizational agility. *Sustainability*, 15(23), 16382. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su152316382>
- Bahamondes-Rosado, M. E., Cerdá-Suárez, L. M., Doderó Ortiz de Zevallos, G. F., & Espinosa-Cristia, J. F. (2023). Technostress at work during the COVID-19 lockdown phase (2020–2021): A systematic review of the literature. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1173425. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1173425>
- Bondanini, G., Giorgi, G., Ariza-Montes, A., Vega-Muñoz, A., & Andreucci-Annunziata, P. (2020). Technostress dark side of technology in the workplace: A scientometric analysis. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(21), 8013. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17218013>
- Borle, P., Reichel, K., Niebuhr, F., & Voelter-Mahlknecht, S. (2021). How are techno-stressors associated with mental health and work outcomes? A systematic review of occupational exposure to information and communication technologies within the technostress model. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(16), 8673. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18168673>
- Bravo-Duarte, F., Tordera, N., & Rodriguez, I. (2025). Overcoming virtual distance: A systematic review of leadership competencies for managing performance in telework. *Frontiers in Organizational Psychology*, 2. <https://doi.org/10.3389/forgp.2024.1499248>
- Brivio, E., Gaudio, F., Vergine, I., Mirizzi, C. R., Reina, C., Stellari, A., & Galimberti, C. (2018). Preventing technostress through positive technology. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 2569. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02569>
- Cai, X., Xiang, H., Neskrodieva, I., et al. (2024). Interrelation between human capital management and ESG engagement: Evidence from S&P 500 firms. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 1654.
- Capone, V., Schettino, G., Marino, L., Camerlingo, C., Smith, A., & Depolo, M. (2024). The new normal of remote work: Exploring individual and organizational factors affecting work-related outcomes and well-being in academia. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1340094. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1340094>
- Cavicchioli, M., Demaria, F., Nannetti, F., Scapolan, A., & Fabbri, T. (2025). Employees' attitudes and work-related stress in the digital workplace: An empirical investigation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1546832>
- Costin, A., Roman, A. F., & Balica, R. S. (2023). Remote work burnout, professional job stress, and employee emotional exhaustion during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1193854. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1193854>
- Dabis, A., & Csáki, C. (2024). AI and ethics: Investigating the first policy responses of higher education institutions to the challenge of generative AI. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 1006. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03526-z>

- Dasgupta, M., & Dey, A. (2021). Mediating role of job crafting in the relationship between organizational culture and employee engagement. *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 22. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJICBM.2021.112588>
- Farias-Gaytan, S., Aguaded, I., & Ramirez-Montoya, M. S. (2023). Digital transformation and digital literacy in the context of complexity within higher education institutions: A systematic literature review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10, 386. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01875-9>
- Fenwick, A., Molnar, G., & Frangos, P. (2024). Revisiting the role of HR in the age of AI: Bringing humans and machines closer together in the workplace. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 1272823. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2023.1272823>
- García-Fernández, L., Ortiz-de-Urbina-Criado, M., & García-López, M. J. (2024). Mapping the main research themes in digital human resources. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 1267. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03795-8>
- Grau-Sarabia, M., & Fuster-Morell, M. (2021). Gender approaches in the study of the digital economy: A systematic literature review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 8, 201. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00875-x>
- Grimshaw, M. (2017). Digital society and capitalism. *Palgrave Communications*, 3, 28. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-017-0020-5>
- Harunavamwe, M., & Ward, C. (2022). The influence of technostress, work–family conflict, and perceived organisational support on workplace flourishing amidst COVID-19. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 921211. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.921211>
- Hassan, R. S., Amin, H. M. G., & Ghoneim, H. (2024). Decent work and innovative work behavior of academic staff in higher education institutions: The mediating role of work engagement and job self-efficacy. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 702.
- Hauer, T. (2022). Importance and limitations of AI ethics in contemporary society. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 9, 272. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-022-01300-7>
- Hu, D., & Lan, Y. (2024). The dual path effect mechanism study of digital-HRM on employee innovative performance and cyberloafing. *PLoS ONE*, 19(8), e0307195. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0307195>
- Juyumaya, J., & Torres, J. P. (2023). A managers' work engagement framework for the digital tasks. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1009459. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1009459>
- Kim, B. J., Kim, M. J., & Lee, J. (2025). The dark side of artificial intelligence adoption: Linking artificial intelligence adoption to employee depression via psychological safety and ethical leadership. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12, 704. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05040-2>
- Li, Z., Yang, C., Yang, Z., & Zhao, Y. (2024). The impact of middle managers' digital leadership on employee work engagement. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 15, 1368442. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2024.1368442>
- Liu, T., Wu, C., Zhang, K., & Ge, M. (2025). Impact of technological turbulence and competitive intensity on employee job performance: The role of workplace digitalization and job crafting. *PLoS ONE*, 20(8), e0330710. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0330710>
- Meng, Q., Wu, T. J., Duan, W., & Li, S. (2025). Effects of employee–artificial intelligence collaboration on counterproductive work behaviors: Leader emotional support as a moderator. *Behavioral Sciences*, 15(5), 696. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs15050696>
- Mohiya, M. (2025). The effect of social media on employee engagement: The mediating role of job satisfaction and perceived organizational support. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12, 559. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-04849-1>
- Nguyen, C. M. A., & Ha, M. T. (2023). The interplay between internal communication, employee engagement, job satisfaction, and employee loyalty in higher education institutions in Vietnam. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 10, 329. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-01806-8>
- Öngel, V., Günsel, A., Gençer Çelik, G., Altındağ, E., & Tatlı, H. S. (2024). Digital leadership's influence on individual creativity and employee performance: A view through the generational lens. *Behavioral Sciences*, 14(1), 3. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14010003>
- Polyportis, A., & Pahos, N. (2024). Navigating the perils of artificial intelligence: A focused review on ChatGPT and responsible research and innovation. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 107. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02464-6>
- Prasad, K. D. V., & De, T. (2024). Generative AI as a catalyst for HRM practices: Mediating effects of trust. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 11, 1362. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03842-4>

- Saidy, J., Garanti, Z., & Sadaka, R. (2022). Technostress creators and job performance among frontliners: Theorizing the moderating role of self-efficacy. *Frontiers in Psychology, 13*, 827027. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.827027>
- Stachová, K., Stacho, Z., Šamalič, P., & Sekan, F. (2024). The impact of e-HRM tools on employee engagement. *Administrative Sciences, 14*(11), 303. <https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci14110303>
- Sun, G. (2023). Quantitative analysis of online labor platforms' algorithmic management influence on psychological health of workers. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20*(5), 4519. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph2005451954519>
- Trenerry, B., Chng, S., Wang, Y., Suhaila, Z. S., Lim, S. S., Lu, H. Y., & Oh, P. H. (2021). Preparing workplaces for digital transformation: An integrative review and framework of multi-level factors. *Frontiers in Psychology, 12*, 620766. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.620766>
- Vignola, E. F., Baron, S., Abreu Plasencia, E., Hussein, M., & Cohen, N. (2023). Workers' health under algorithmic management: Emerging findings and urgent research questions. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20*(2), 1239. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20021239>
- Wang, L., Zhou, Y., & Zheng, G. (2022). Linking digital HRM practices with HRM effectiveness: The moderate role of HRM capability maturity from the adaptive structuration perspective. *Sustainability, 14*(2), 1003. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14021003>
- Ye, D., Xu, B., Wei, B., Zheng, L., & Wu, Y. (2024). Employee work engagement in the digital transformation of enterprises: A fuzzy-set qualitative comparative analysis. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11*. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02418-y>
- Zareen, M., & Mujtaba, B. G. (2013). Job design and employee performance: The moderating role of employee psychological perception.
- Zayid, H., Alzubi, A., Berberoğlu, A., & Khadem, A. (2024). How do algorithmic management practices affect workforce well-being? A parallel moderated mediation model. *Behavioral Sciences, 14*(12), 1123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs14121123>
- Zhan, X., & Xie, S. (2025). Linking workplace digitalization to work engagement: Evidence from China public sector organizations. *Frontiers in Psychology, 16*, 1455250. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1455250>
- Zhang, Q., Dai, W., Chen, J., Gu, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2025). The side effects of digitalization: A study on role overload and job burnout of employees. *PLoS ONE, 20*(4), e0322112. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0322112>
- Zhang, X., & Deng, G. (2025). Protecting work engagement from digital fatigue: The contingent roles of leadership style and network ties. *Frontiers in Psychology, 16*, 1645057. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2025.1645057>
- Zhang, Z., Song, W., & Liu, P. (2024). Making and interpreting: Digital humanities as embodied action. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11*, 13. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-023-02548-3>
- Zhou, Q., Zang, L., & Fu, F. (2024). Influence of employee impact on their evaluation of enterprise digital capability: A mediated moderation model. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11*, 1711. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-04288-4>
- Zhou, Y., Lyu, J., & Li, L. (2025). Can digital transformation enhance labor productivity in enterprises: An analysis from the perspective of business process reengineering. *PLoS ONE, 20*(6), e0325484. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0325484>
- Zhu, J., Zhang, B., & Wang, H. (2024). The double-edged sword effects of perceived algorithmic control on platform workers' service performance. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11*, 316. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-02812-0>
- Žnidaršič, J., & Bernik, M. (2021). Impact of work–family balance results on employee work engagement within the organization: The case of Slovenia. *PLoS ONE, 16*(1), e0245078. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245078>