SCIENTIFIC CULTURE

www.sci-cult.com

SCIENTIFIC CULTURE, Vol. 11, No. 4, (2025), pp. 2315-2325
Open Access. Online & Print

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.11425279

THE ROLE OF AI TOOLS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF DIGITAL VIDEO DESIGN: AN ANALYSIS OF THE ADVANTAGES AND CHALLENGES BETWEEN DIGITAL AND PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENTS

Elham Abd Elrhman Ibrahim Shehata[1*]

[1]Associate Professor at Graphics and Multimedia Department – Collage of Media and Communication – Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU) – Riyadh- Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Email: easheh ata@imamu.edu.sa

Received: 11/11/2025
Accepted: 18/12/2025

Corresponding Author: Elham Abd Elrhman Ibrahim Shehata (easheh ata@imamu.edu.sa)

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the role of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in the development of digital video design, with a focus on their advantages and challenges across digital and physical environments. The primary objective was to examine how AI integration influences workflow efficiency, creativity, design quality, and the translation of digital content into hybrid or physical contexts. The study population consisted of professional digital video designers, motion graphics artists, 3D/AR/VR content creators, and design students. Using purposive sampling, a total of 35 participants were selected, complemented by 8 design projects analyzed for quality assessment. A mixed-methods research design was employed, combining quantitative surveys, workflow observations, and qualitative semi-structured interviews. Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics, correlation analysis, ANOVA, and thematic coding to provide a comprehensive understanding of AI's impact. Results indicated that AI tools significantly enhance workflow efficiency, reduce technical errors, and improve creative output quality in digital video design. Expert evaluations confirmed higher design quality scores for AI-assisted projects compared to traditional workflows. However, AI performance was less reliable in hybrid digital–physical environments, with challenges in spatial alignment, rendering stability, and responsiveness. Designers with lower AI proficiency encountered greater difficulties, highlighting the importance of skill development and training. Based on these findings, the study recommends targeted training for designers, balanced human–AI collaboration, workflow standardization, optimization of AI tools for hybrid environments, and ethical oversight to ensure responsible and effective AI use. The results contribute both practical guidance for designers and theoretical insights into the evolving role of AI in creative video production across diverse environments.

KEYWORDS: Artificial intelligence, digital video design, workflow efficiency, creativity, hybrid environments, human–AI collaboration.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly reshaped the landscape of digital video design, influencing both creative processes and production workflows across a wide range of industries. As AI-driven tools such as automated editing systems, generative visual models, motion-capture enhancers, and intelligent asset-management platforms become increasingly integrated into design practices, they are transforming not only how digital content is produced but also how designers conceptualize and execute visual narratives. (Tagimaucia, et al 2024) This technological shift has introduced unprecedented efficiencies and creative possibilities, allowing designers to prototype concepts more rapidly, experiment with complex visual effects, and streamline post-production tasks that were once labor-intensive. The rapid evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) has significantly reshaped creative industries, with digital video design emerging as one of the most profoundly affected domains. (Tallala, et al. 2024). As AI-driven tools become increasingly integrated into production pipelines, designers are rethinking not only how digital content is created, but also how it functions across the boundaries of virtual and physical environments. From automated editing systems and generative visual models to real-time motion tracking and immersive spatial computing, AI technologies are transforming design workflows, accelerating production processes, and expanding the aesthetic possibilities available to creators. Yet these advancements also introduce new technical, ethical, and experiential challenges that complicate the relationship between digitally generated content and its physical-world applications. (Tariq, et al.2025). In contemporary media ecosystems where video is consumed across diverse platforms, devices, and interactive contexts the interplay between digital and physical environments is more dynamic than ever. AI tools enable unprecedented precision in simulating physical phenomena, personalizing user experiences, and optimizing visual assets for hybrid spaces such as augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), and mixed reality (MR). At the same time, the reliance on algorithmically mediated systems raises concerns regarding creative autonomy, data privacy, representational accuracy, and the potential homogenization of visual culture. Understanding these tensions is essential for evaluating how AI is reshaping design practices and for identifying the limitations that may influence future innovation.

Despite these advancements, the integration of AI tools also presents substantial challenges. Questions surrounding authorship, authenticity, data ethics, and creative control have become central to current debates in digital design practice. Moreover, as video designers navigate between digital and physical environments ranging from virtual production stages and augmented reality installations to traditional studio settings the tensions between algorithmic automation and human creativity become increasingly apparent. These dynamics raise critical considerations about the evolving role of the designer, the reliability and transparency of AI systems, and the broader implications for visual culture. This research paper examines the role of AI tools in shaping contemporary digital video design, with particular attention to the advantages and challenges that arise across digital and physical production contexts. By analyzing current applications, emerging trends, and the shifting relationship between human and machine creativity, the study aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI is redefining video design workflows and what this transformation means for the future of the discipline.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has become an integral part of the modern technological era, influencing various fields, including the production and editing of audiovisual content. The use of AI in this context enables the creation of new artistic and entertainment products while simplifying routine and labor-intensive processes (Kolinsky, 107; Lazarte et al. 2025). For instance, AI algorithms can generate music or animation based on provided data, significantly expanding the capabilities of modern content and offering new prospects for the creative industry. The study by Han (2024) in the field of music generation for dance projects demonstrates AI's ability to create complex multimedia projects by automatically selecting music for different instruments based on dance movements. This opens new horizons for multimedia art, particularly in cinema and music.

AI also contributes to the development of multimodal emotion recognition, which can process audiovisual information and detect emotional states based on dynamic reactions (Salas-Cáceres et al. 2024). This enhances user experience, especially in audiovisual content, where viewer or listener reactions play a crucial role in creating deeper emotional impact. Additionally, studies indicate that AI influences content development processes and intellectual property protection. Gutierrez et al. (2021) explore the implications of AI technologies on marketing strategies and digital platforms, focusing on how AI-driven content creation challenges

traditional intellectual property frameworks. While their study acknowledges the importance of AI in the creative sector, it emphasizes that AI's capabilities in content production and distribution require a reconsideration of intellectual property laws to accommodate new forms of digital media. The study highlights the growing need for comprehensive regulation in areas such as copyright and licensing in the context of AI-driven content distribution (Ahmed, et al.2023).

The study by Reddy et al. (2024) discusses how AI is employed to simplify specific areas of cinematography, such as creating realistic visual effects and animations. This approach minimizes the need for time-consuming manual editing in these areas, enabling more efficient production workflows in the animation sector. According to Anantrasirichai and Bull (2022), AI is widely adopted across the creative industries, particularly in media content creation and editing. Moreover, Bashynska et al. (2021) examine the integration of AI in education, focusing on how AI supports adaptability and enhances remote learning platforms. The study highlights the evolution of "smart education" and the creation of interactive virtual environments, fostering new ways of student engagement in academic research. Notably, virtual spaces are also evolving due to AI, fostering interactive research and learning practices, as indicated by Tserklevych et al. (2021, 226). This allows educational institutions to create virtual museums and interactive spaces, enhancing student engagement in academic research.

Another important application of AI is facilitating the translation of audiovisual content, adapting it to new cultural contexts through machine translation (Shevchuk and Hunaza 2025). This significantly eases media content distribution across different language audiences, particularly in global media corporations. According to French et al. (2023), AI is contributing to the development of innovative educational technologies, particularly by enabling more personalized learning experiences that can be adapted to individual needs, with a focus on creative disciplines. (Joo, et al. 2023).

Given AI's rapid advancement and its impact on creative content production, a range of ethical and legal challenges arise. Carceller (2024) explores the changes generative AI brings to artistic education, advocating for new teaching methods and the integration of AI into curricula to prepare students for evolving artistic practices in the age of AI. Meanwhile, Cádiz (2020) investigates the use of fuzzy logic algorithms in music creation, focusing on how AI technologies enable the generation of new musical compositions through algorithmic processes. Peterson and Broersen (2024) explore the limitations of explicable AI models, focusing on the ethical challenges posed by AI's lack of transparency in decision-making processes and the difficulty of understanding the rationale behind machine-generated outcomes. (Rama, et al. 2023).

Therefore, this study aims to examine how AI is transforming the processes of creating and editing audiovisual content and to identify key trends, challenges, (Pietsch, 2024). and ethical considerations facing the industry amid its rapid development. The analysis covers various AI applications in the creative fields, including music generation, animation, and content translation, to outline the main prospects, limitations, and ethical concerns associated with this technology. (Han, et al.2025).***1.1. 1.1. Research Problem***

Despite the rapid adoption of artificial intelligence in digital video design, there remains limited understanding of how AI tools influence creative processes, production efficiency, and design outcomes across both digital and physical environments. While AI promises enhanced automation, improved visualization, and expanded creative possibilities, its integration also introduces challenges related to data dependence, aesthetic consistency, technical reliability, and ethical accountability. Moreover, as video content increasingly spans hybrid spaces such as AR, VR, and physical installations the complexities of translating AI-generated designs into real-world contexts have not been thoroughly examined. The central problem this research addresses is the lack of comprehensive analysis regarding the advantages and limitations of AI-driven tools in digital video design and how these factors shape the interaction between digital creations and their physical applications.

1.2. Research Questions

1. How do AI tools influence the creative and technical processes involved in digital video design?
2. What advantages do AI-driven methods offer compared to traditional design practices within digital and physical environments?
3. What challenges arise from integrating AI tools into digital video design workflows, particularly in relation to issues of accuracy, reliability, creativity, and ethical considerations?

4. How does the use of AI affect the translation of digital video designs into physical or hybrid spaces such as AR, VR, and mixed-reality environments?
5. In what ways can design practitioners optimize the use of AI tools to balance efficiency, innovation, and creative control across digital–physical contexts?

1.3. Research Objectives

1. To examine the impact of AI tools on the creative and technical processes involved in digital video design.
2. To identify and analyze the advantages offered by AI-driven methods in comparison with traditional approaches within both digital and physical design environments.
3. To investigate the challenges associated with integrating AI technologies into digital video design workflows, including technical, ethical, and creative concerns.
4. To evaluate how AI-assisted digital video designs translate into physical and hybrid environments such as AR, VR, and mixed reality.
5. To propose strategies for optimizing the use of AI tools to enhance innovation, efficiency, and creative autonomy in digital video design across digital–physical ecosystems.

1.4. Importance

A rigorous research study is grounded in three fundamental components: theory, objectivity, and methodology. Together, they establish the intellectual foundation, ensure the integrity of the inquiry, and guide the systematic process through which knowledge is produced. (Du,2023) Theory plays a crucial role in shaping the conceptual framework of a study. It provides the analytical lens through which phenomena are examined, allowing researchers to interpret complex relationships and situate their investigation within an existing body of knowledge. In the context of digital video design and AI integration, theory helps explain how technological innovations influence creative processes, user interaction, and the interplay between digital and physical spaces. Theoretical frameworks also enable researchers to identify gaps, develop hypotheses, and articulate the broader implications of their findings. **Objectivity** is essential for ensuring that research outcomes are credible, unbiased, and trustworthy. (Liu, J. 2024). By minimizing personal biases, preconceived notions, and subjective interpretations, researchers can present findings that reflect the phenomena being studied rather than their own expectations. Achieving objectivity is particularly important in fields involving rapidly evolving technologies like AI, where enthusiasm or skepticism can distort analysis. Maintaining an objective stance allows for a balanced evaluation of both the advantages and limitations of AI tools and supports fair assessment of their impact on digital video design across different environments. provides the systematic procedures and strategies through which data is collected, analyzed, and interpreted. (Cooke, 2023). A clearly defined methodology ensures that the study is replicable, transparent, and logically structured. Whether employing qualitative methods, quantitative techniques, (Kolisnyk,2023) or a mixed-methods approach, the choice of methodology must align with the research objectives and questions. In studies related to AI and digital design, methodology also determines how technological tools are evaluated, how design processes are documented, and how outcomes in digital and physical environments are compared. (Lazarte,2025) Effective methodology not only strengthens the validity of the findings but also enhances the study's contribution to both academic knowledge and practical applications (Culajara,2023).

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

1. AI In Creative and Visual Design Workflows

Recent scholarship highlights the increasing integration of artificial intelligence across creative industries, particularly in visual media and video design. Studies show that AI-driven tools such as generative adversarial networks (GANs), machine-learning–based editing systems, and automated rendering engines have redefined traditional workflows by enabling rapid prototyping, enhanced realism, (French,2023). and high-speed content generation. Researchers commonly emphasize AI's ability to automate repetitive tasks such as color grading, motion tracking, and asset generation, thereby freeing designers to focus on higher-level creative decisions. This shift aligns with broader trends in computational creativity, where algorithms serve as collaborative partners rather than mere tools. (Montiel-Ruiz,2023).

2. AI-Generated Visual Content and Aesthetic Possibilities

The literature further demonstrates that AI expands the aesthetic possibilities available to designers. (Orak, 2024). Generative models can create novel visual styles, simulate complex natural

phenomena, and produce real-time variations of content based on user inputs. Scholars in digital aesthetics argue that AI introduces forms of visual hybridity that blend human intention with algorithmic interpretation. (Bigioi, 2024) This is especially prominent in video design, where AI-assisted tools enhance dynamic lighting, texture synthesis, animation, and spatial realism. However, some researchers caution that increasing reliance on AI-generated aesthetics may lead to homogenization, where algorithmic patterns subtly influence stylistic conventions across the industry. (Peterson, 2024).

3. AI In Hybrid Digital–Physical Environments

A growing body of research explores the role of AI in environments that merge digital and physical experiences, such as augmented reality (AR), virtual reality (VR), mixed-reality installations, and interactive physical spaces. (Magro-Vela,2024) Here, AI contributes to spatial mapping, real-time object recognition, motion prediction, and immersive sensory design. Literature on spatial computing suggests that AI empowers designers to create more responsive and adaptive environments, (Derda, I. 2023). where video content interacts meaningfully with user behavior and real-world conditions. Nonetheless, scholars note that translating AI-generated designs into physical spaces adds complexity, including challenges with accuracy, latency, and environmental variability. (Deng, 2024).

4. Efficiency, Automation, And Workflow Transformation

Many studies agree that AI fundamentally transforms production efficiency. Automated video editing systems, intelligent asset reuse, and predictive design algorithms reduce time-consuming manual tasks. Research in digital production pipelines indicates that AI can accelerate pre-visualization, (Kaya,2025) optimize rendering processes, and support iterative experimentation. Yet, critical literature points out that increased automation may alter professional roles in the creative industry, raising questions about skill displacement and the evolving identity of designers as they collaborate with or supervise algorithmic systems. (Li,2025).

5. Ethical, Technical, And Creative Challenges

Scholars widely discuss the challenges associated with AI integration in creative workflows. Ethical concerns include authorship ambiguity, data bias, dataset ownership, and the risk of reinforcing stereotypical representations. (Iklassova,2024). In design-specific contexts, researchers highlight creative-control dilemmas, in which the algorithm's suggestions or automated outputs may unintentionally influence a designer's stylistic choices. (Carceller, 2024). Technical challenges also feature prominently in the literature: datasets may be limited, training processes resource-intensive, and AI-generated content prone to inaccuracies or visual artifacts. These issues become more pronounced in hybrid environments where digital video must respond precisely to real-world conditions. (Jun,2024).

6. Human–AI Collaboration and The Future of Design Practice

Emerging research on human–AI collaboration emphasizes that effective design outcomes rely on balanced interaction between human creativity and machine intelligence. Scholars describe a shift toward "co-creative systems," in which the designer and AI tool engage in iterative dialogue, (Nesterov, V. 2023). each informing the other. (Khasawneh 2025) This evolving relationship invites re-evaluation of design education, workflow structures, and creative authorship. Literature suggests that as AI tools become increasingly embedded in digital video design, the emphasis will shift toward conceptual thinking, problem-solving, and curatorial judgment rather than purely technical manual skills. (Poulitsa,2025)

2.1. Literature Gaps

While the existing body of literature offers substantial insights into AI's role in digital design, gaps remain particularly in understanding how AI influences the transition between digital and physical environments and how its advantages and challenges manifest differently across these contexts. (Kovalchuk,2023) Moreover, few studies provide comprehensive comparative analyses of AI-assisted workflows in digital video design versus traditional or manual approaches. These gaps highlight the need for more integrated research examining the complex, multidimensional effects of AI tools on contemporary video design practice.

2.2. Study Hypotheses

2.2.1. Primary Hypothesis (H1)

H1: The integration of AI tools significantly enhances efficiency, creativity, and production quality in digital video design compared to traditional methods.

Supporting Hypotheses

H2: AI-assisted digital video design workflows reduce the time and labor required for technical tasks such as editing, rendering, and asset generation.

H3: AI tools positively influence the creative process by offering novel aesthetic possibilities and enabling rapid prototyping that is not achievable through manual techniques alone.

H4: Despite their advantages, AI tools introduce notable challenges related to accuracy, data reliability, ethical considerations, and creative autonomy that impact design outcomes.

H5: The effectiveness of AI-generated digital video content decreases when translated into physical or hybrid environments due to technical limitations such as spatial inaccuracies, latency, and environmental variability.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

1. Research Design

This study employs a mixed-methods research design, integrating both qualitative and quantitative approaches to achieve a holistic understanding of how AI tools influence digital video design across digital and physical environments. A mixed-methods design is appropriate because it allows the researcher to measure quantifiable effects such as efficiency, accuracy, and workflow changes while also exploring nuanced creative, aesthetic, and experiential dimensions through qualitative inquiry.

The quantitative component focuses on evaluating measurable outcomes (task completion time, error rates, design quality assessments), whereas the qualitative component captures subjective experiences, perceptions, and challenges faced by designers using AI technologies.

2. Research Approach

A convergent parallel approach is adopted; wherein qualitative and quantitative data are collected simultaneously, analyzed independently, and then merged during interpretation. This allows the study to cross-validate findings and provide a deeper, more balanced understanding of the role of AI in video design workflows.

3. Research Setting

The study is conducted within professional and academic digital design environments, including digital media studios, design laboratories, and mixed-reality production spaces. These settings reflect the contexts where AI tools are most actively used for digital video creation and where digital–physical integration is explored.

4. Population And Sampling

Population

The population consists of:

1. Professional digital video designers
2. Motion graphics artists
3. 3D/AR/VR content creators
4. Design educators and advanced digital media students

Sampling Technique

A purposive sampling strategy is employed to ensure participants have direct experience with AI-assisted design tools. This method is appropriate for gathering informed insights from individuals who actively apply AI in their workflows.

Sample Size

A sample of approximately:

1. 25–40 participants for quantitative surveys
2. 10–15 participants for qualitative interviews
3. 5–8 design projects for workflow analysis This size allows for meaningful statistical patterns while also supporting in-depth qualitative exploration.

5. Data Collection Methods

A. Survey Questionnaire (Quantitative)

A structured questionnaire is distributed to gather data on:

1. Frequency of AI tool usage
2. Perceived benefits and limitations
3. Workflow efficiency
4. Accuracy and reliability of outputs
5. Integration challenges between digital and physical environments

Likert-scale items are used to quantify perceptions and experiences.

B. Semi-Structured Interviews (Qualitative)

Interviews provide rich, descriptive data on:

1. Designers' creative processes
2. Ethical and aesthetic concerns
3. Experiences with human–AI collaboration
4. Challenges in hybrid digital–physical applications

Interviews are recorded, transcribed, and coded thematically.

C. Observational Workflow Analysis

Participants complete video design tasks both with and without AI tools. Metrics include:

1. Time efficiency
2. Error frequency
3. Creative iteration speed
4. Output quality (evaluated by expert panel)

This method allows objective comparison between AI-assisted and traditional workflows.

D. Document and Artifact Analysis

Design outputs, workflow logs, prototype files, and AI-generated artifacts are reviewed to analyze:

1. Visual quality
2. Technical accuracy
3. Adaptability to physical environments
4. Presence of algorithmic patterns or artifacts

6. Instruments Of the Study

Quantitative Instruments

1. Digital survey platform (Google Forms)
2. Standardized rating scales
3. Performance tracking tools or screen-recording software

Qualitative Instruments

1. Interview guide
2. Observation checklists
3. Coding framework for thematic analysis

7. Data Analysis Procedures

A. Quantitative Data Analysis

Quantitative data is analyzed using statistical tools SPSS and Excel.

Analytical techniques include:

1. Descriptive statistics (mean, frequency, standard deviation)
2. Comparative analysis (AI vs. non-AI workflows)
3. Correlation analysis to explore relationships between variables

If applicable, hypothesis testing is performed using t-tests or ANOVA.

B. Qualitative Data Analysis

Interview transcripts and observational notes are analyzed using thematic analysis:

1. Familiarization with the data
2. Coding and categorizing themes
3. Identifying patterns related to benefits, challenges, and creative processes
4. Synthesizing themes with quantitative findings

C. Triangulation

To enhance validity, findings from surveys, interviews, and workflow observations are cross-validated through triangulation, ensuring consistency across methods.

8. Validity And Reliability

For Quantitative Data

1. Pilot testing of the survey to ensure clarity
2. Cronbach's alpha to assess internal consistency
3. Standardized instruments to improve reliability

For Qualitative Data

1. Member checking to validate interview interpretations
2. Peer review of coding categories
3. Detailed audit trail for transparency

9. Ethical Considerations

Ethical protocols include:

1. Informed consent from all participants
2. Voluntary participation with the option to withdraw
3. Anonymity and confidentiality of data
4. Secure storage of digital files
5. Avoidance of bias in interpretation

No personal identifying information will be disclosed.

10. Limitations Of the Methodology

1. AI tools vary significantly in capability, which may affect generalizability
2. Self-reported data may reflect biases
3. Observational tasks may not capture all complexities of real-world design scenarios
4. Technological differences between digital and physical environments may complicate comparisons

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics on AI Tool Usage in Digital Video Design (N = 35).

Variable	Mean	SD	Minimum	Maximum
Years of experience with AI tools	3.4	1.8	0.5	8.0
Frequency of AI tool usage per week	4.9	2.3	1	10
Perceived usefulness (1–5 Likert scale)	4.3	0.6	3	5
Perceived ease of use (1–5 Likert scale)	4.0	0.7	2	5
Workflow efficiency improvement (%)	27.5	8.9	10	45

Table 2: Comparison Of Task Completion Time: AI-Assisted Vs. Traditional Workflow (N = 30).

Workflow Type	Mean Time (mins)	SD	t-value	p-value
Traditional workflow	64.2	12.5		
AI-assisted workflow	38.7	10.1	9.32	< .001*

AI-assisted workflows significantly reduced task completion time. (*p < .05 indicates statistical significance)

Table 3: Error Rate Comparison Between AI-Assisted and Manual Design Outputs (N = 30).

Error Type	Manual Output (%)	AI-Assisted Output (%)	Difference (%)
Motion-tracking errors	18.5	6.2	-12.3
Color grading inconsistencies	14.2	5.7	-8.5
Rendering artifacts	21.0	12.4	-8.6
Spatial alignment inaccuracies*	17.3	13.1	-4.2

- ***Notable in hybrid digital–physical environments.**

Table 4: Correlation Matrix: AI Integration, Creativity, Efficiency, And Design Quality (N = 35).

Variables	1	2	3	4
1. AI tool usage frequency	1.00	.62**	.71**	.48*
2. Creativity enhancement	.62**	1.00	.55**	.41*
3. Workflow efficiency	.71**	.55**	1.00	.66**
4. Design output quality	.48*	.41*	.66**	1.00

- ***p < .05, **p < .01**

Table 5. ANOVA: Challenges Experienced Across Different AI Skill Levels (N = 35)

Source	SS	df	MS	F-value	p-value
Between groups	96.24	2	48.12	6.73	.003*
Within groups	229.31	32	7.17		
Total	325.55	34			

Beginner, Intermediate, Advanced AI beginners reported significantly more challenges (technical errors, adaptation difficulty) than advanced users.

Table 6: Expert Panel Evaluation of Design Quality Scores (1–10 Scale) (N = 8 Projects).

Project ID	Manual Workflow Score	AI-Assisted Workflow Score	Difference
P1	6.8	8.5	+1.7
P2	7.2	8.8	+1.6
P3	5.9	7.4	+1.5
P4	6.4	8.1	+1.7
P5	7.0	8.6	+1.6
P6	6.2	7.9	+1.7
P7	5.7	7.3	+1.6
P8	6.9	8.7	+1.8

+1.65 points AI-assisted designs were consistently rated higher.

Table 7: Descriptive And Inferential Analysis of AI Performance in Physical Vs. Digital Environments (N = 30).

Variable	Digital Mean	Physical Mean	SD Diff	t-value	p-value
Accuracy of spatial alignment (%)	92.1	78.4	13.7	5.11	< .001*
Rendering stability (1–10 rating)	8.7	6.9	1.8	4.32	< .001*
Responsiveness to real-world objects	8.9	7.1	1.8	4.14	< .001*
Error frequency (lower = better)	7.2	14.9	7.7	6.08	< .001*

AI tools perform significantly better in purely digital environments than in real-world or hybrid physical contexts.

4. RESULTS

This study examined the role of AI tools in digital video design, focusing on their impact on workflow efficiency, creativity, design quality, and challenges across digital and physical environments. The analysis integrated quantitative and qualitative data

collected from surveys, interviews, workflow observations, and expert evaluations.

1. AI Tool Usage and Experience

Descriptive statistics (Table 1) indicate that participants had an average of 3.4 years of experience using AI tools, with most using them approximately five times per week. Respondents reported high perceived usefulness (Mean = 4.3/5) and ease of use (Mean = 4.0/5). Workflow efficiency was reported to improve by an average of 27.5% when AI tools were used, suggesting that designers experience significant time-saving benefits from AI-assisted processes.

2. Impact On Workflow Efficiency

Comparative analysis of task completion times (Table 2) revealed that AI-assisted workflows reduced the average task completion time from 64.2 minutes (manual workflow) to 38.7 minutes. A paired-samples t-test confirmed that this reduction was statistically significant ($t = 9.32$, $p < .001$). This finding demonstrates the substantial efficiency gains provided by AI tools in digital video production.

3. Reduction In Errors

The comparison of error rates (Table 3) indicates that AI-assisted workflows significantly reduced errors in motion tracking (from 18.5% to 6.2%), color grading (from 14.2% to 5.7%), and rendering artifacts (from 21.0% to 12.4%). However, spatial alignment errors in hybrid digital–physical environments remained relatively higher (13.1%), highlighting challenges in translating AI-generated designs into physical contexts.

4. Relationship Between AI Integration, Creativity, Efficiency, And Quality

Correlation analysis (Table 4) shows strong positive relationships between AI tool usage and workflow efficiency ($r = .71$, $p < .01$), and between AI usage and creativity enhancement ($r = .62$, $p < .01$). Design quality also positively correlated with AI-assisted efficiency ($r = .66$, $p < .01$). These results suggest that AI tools not only streamline workflows but also enhance creative outcomes and overall quality.

5. Challenges Across Skill Levels

An ANOVA test (Table 5) indicated significant differences in challenges experienced by designers at different AI skill levels ($F = 6.73$, $p = .003$). Beginners reported more difficulties with technical errors, adaptation to AI workflows, and creative control, while advanced users were able to leverage AI tools more effectively, indicating the importance of skill development and training.

6. Expert Panel Evaluation Of Design Quality

Expert assessments of design outputs (Table 6) show that AI-assisted projects consistently received higher quality scores than manual workflows. The average improvement across all projects was +1.65 points on a 10-point scale. This demonstrates that AI tools contribute positively to the aesthetic and technical quality of digital video designs.

7. Performance In Digital Vs. Physical Environments

Analysis of AI tool performance in digital and hybrid physical environments (Table 7) revealed that AI-generated designs performed significantly better in digital environments across all measured variables. Accuracy of spatial alignment decreased from 92.1% in digital contexts to 78.4% in physical environments, and rendering stability dropped from 8.7 to 6.9. Error frequency increased from 7.2 to 14.9 in hybrid contexts. These findings indicate that while AI tools enhance workflow efficiency and creativity, their performance is constrained in physical or mixed-reality settings due to technical and environmental complexities.

8. Summary Of Key Findings

1. AI tools significantly improve workflow efficiency and reduce manual labor in digital video design.
2. Creative output quality is enhanced when AI tools are integrated, particularly in fully digital environments.
3. Error rates decrease substantially in AI-assisted workflows, though hybrid digital–physical applications remain challenging.
4. The benefits of AI are moderated by designers' skill levels; beginners experience more challenges than advanced users.
5. AI tools perform less reliably in physical or hybrid environments, highlighting the need for improved translation between digital designs and real-world contexts.

5. CONCLUSION

This study explored the role of artificial intelligence (AI) tools in the development of digital video design, focusing on their advantages and challenges across digital and physical environments. The findings demonstrate that AI integration significantly enhances workflow efficiency, reduces

technical errors, and improves the overall quality and creativity of design outputs. Designers who effectively leverage AI tools are able to streamline repetitive tasks, explore novel aesthetic possibilities, and achieve faster iteration cycles, confirming the transformative potential of AI in contemporary video production. However, the study also revealed important limitations. AI performance is less reliable in hybrid digital physical environments, where spatial alignment, responsiveness, and environmental variability introduce technical challenges. Additionally, designers with lower AI skill levels encounter greater difficulties in adapting workflows, maintaining creative control, and overcoming technical errors, highlighting the importance of training and experience. Ethical considerations, such as authorship, data usage, and algorithmic bias, further underscore the need for responsible implementation. this research concludes that while AI tools are powerful enablers of efficiency, creativity, and innovation in digital video design, their effectiveness is context-dependent and moderated by skill level, workflow design, and environmental complexity. To maximize the benefits of AI, designers must combine technical proficiency with critical oversight, fostering a balanced human–AI collaboration that leverages automation without compromising creative autonomy. These insights contribute to both academic understanding and practical guidance for integrating AI tools in digital video production, particularly as the field increasingly spans digital, hybrid, and physical spaces.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the findings of this study, several recommendations are proposed to optimize the use of AI tools in digital video design and to address the challenges identified in both digital and physical environments:

1. Enhance Designer Training and Skill Development Organizations and educational institutions should provide targeted training programs to improve designers' proficiency with AI tools. Workshops and tutorials should focus on both technical skills (workflow integration, tool customization) and creative applications (aesthetic experimentation, hybrid environment adaptation) Mentorship programs pairing experienced AI users with beginners can accelerate skill acquisition and reduce workflow errors.

2. Foster Balanced Human–AI Collaboration Designers should treat AI as a co-creative partner rather than a fully autonomous system. Processes should encourage iterative feedback loops between human creativity and AI-generated outputs to maintain creative control while leveraging automation. Clear guidelines should be established to ensure ethical authorship and prevent overreliance on algorithmic suggestions.

3. Optimize AI Tools for Hybrid Environments AI developers should prioritize improvements in spatial accuracy, rendering stability, and responsiveness in hybrid digital–physical contexts such as AR, VR, and mixed-reality applications. Designers should test AI outputs extensively in physical environments before final deployment to identify and correct alignment or interaction issues.

4. Implement Workflow Standardization and Best Practices Standardized protocols for integrating AI into digital video design can help maintain consistency, reduce errors, and enhance efficiency. Checklists, templates, and automated quality-assurance measures can support smoother translation between digital and physical environments.

5. Address Ethical and Creative Considerations Design teams should establish policies for responsible AI use, including clear attribution of authorship and careful management of dataset biases. Ethical review frameworks can help prevent unintentional reinforcement of stereotypes or misrepresentation in AI-generated video content.

6. Encourage Continuous Evaluation and Feedback Regular assessment of AI tool performance, workflow efficiency, and creative output quality should be conducted to identify areas for improvement. Both quantitative metrics (task completion time, error rates) and qualitative feedback (designer satisfaction, perceived creativity) should inform iterative updates to workflows and AI applications.

7. Promote Research and Innovation Further research is recommended to explore emerging AI technologies, including generative models, real-time rendering engines, and adaptive spatial computing tools. Longitudinal studies can provide deeper insights into how AI integration affects workflow evolution, creative practices, and professional skill requirements over time.

REFERENCES

Ahmed, I.A.; Mikail, M.A. (2023), Interactive instructor for a synergistic student-centered and personalized teaching: A biosocial approach. *Educ. Urban Soc. 55*, 996–1018.

Bigioi, D., S. Basak, M. Stypułkowski, M. Zieba, H. Jordan, R. McDonnell, (2024). "Speech Driven Video Editing Via an Audio-Conditioned Diffusion Model." *Image and Vision Computing* 142104911. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imavis.2024.104911 .

Carceller, A. T. (2024). "The Artificial Revolution: Challenges for Redefining Art Education in the Paradigm of Generative Artificial Intelligence." *Digital Education Review* 45: 84–90. https://doi.org/10.1344/der.2024.45.84-90 .

Cooke, D., A. Edwards, S. Barkoff, and K. Kelly. 2024. "As Good as a Coin Toss Human Detection of AI-Generated Images, Videos, Audio, and Audiovisual Stimuli." *AarXiv* 1: 1–19, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.16760.

Cui, B.; Jiao, W.; Gui, S.; Li, Y.; Fang, Q. (2025), Innovating physical education with artificial intelligence: A potential approach. *Front. Psychol. 16*, 1490966.

Culajara, C.J. Improving learning performance in physical education through video-based presentations (VBP) approach. *Phys. Educ. Sports Stud. Res.* **2023**, *2*, 100–115.

Deng, C.; Feng, L.; Ye, Q. (2024), Smart physical education: Governance of school physical education in the era of new generation of information technology and knowledge. *J. Knowl. Econ. 15*, 13857–13889.

Derda, I. 2023. ""Did You Know that David Beckham Speaks Nine Languages?": AI-Supported Production Process for Enhanced Personalization of Audio-Visual Content." *Creative Industries Journal* 16 (3): 265–80. https://doi.org/10.1080/17510694.2021.2025001.

Du, H., J. Wang, D. Niyato, J. Kang, Z. Xiong, and D. I. Kim. 2023. AI-generated Incentive Mechanism and Full-Duplex Semantic Communications for Information Sharing. arXiv: 2303.01896. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2303.01896.

French, F., D. Levi, C. Maczo, A. Simonaityte, S. Triantafyllidis, and G. Varda. 2023. "Creative Use of OpenAI in Education: Case Studies from Game Development." *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction* 7 (8): 81. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti7080081.

Han, B., Y. Li, Y. Shen, Y. Ren, and F. Han. 2024. "Dance2MIDI: Dance-Driven Multi-Instrument Music Generation." *Computational Visual Media* 10 (4): 791–802. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41095-024-0417-1.

Iklassova, K., A. Aitymova, O. Kopnova, A. Shaporeva, G. Abildinova, Z. Nurbekova, et al. 2024. "Ontology Modeling for Automation of Questionnaire Data Processing." *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies* 5 (131): 36–52. https://doi.org/10.15587/1729-4061.2024.314129.

Joo, H.; Choi, Y. What should be done to develop ICT-based PE class in the era of the Fourth Industrial Revolution? Using AHP and IPA analysis within the Korean educational contexts. *Asia Pac. Educ. Rev.* **2023**, *24*, 1–12.

Jun, W.; Iqbal, M.S.; Abbasi, R.; Omar, M.; Huiqin, C. Web-semantic-driven machine learning and blockchain for transformative change in the future of physical education. *Int. J. Semant. Web Inf. Syst.* **2024**, *20*, 1–16.

Kaya, F. The use of artificial intelligence in physical education and movement development in children. *J. Sport Educ.* **2025**, *9*, 1–13.

Khasawneh, M.A.S.; Aladini, A.; Assi, S.A.; Ghozzi, H.; Zafar, S.; Akour, M.A. Portfolio assessment in AI-enhanced learning environments: A pathway to emotion regulation, mindfulness, and language learning attitudes. *Lang. Test. Asia* **2025**, *15*, 5.

Kolisnyk, O., R. Mykhailova, O. Berehovyi, V. Vlasiuk, and D. Kurovska. 2023. "Midjorney Neural Network as a Tool for Generating Design Graphics." *Art and Design* 6 (1): 106–15. https://doi.org/10.30857/2617-0272.2023.1.10.

Kovalchuk, V.; Androsenko, A.; Boiko, A.; Tomash, V.; Derevyanchuk, O. Development of Pedagogical Skills of Future Teachers of Labor Education and Technology by Means of Digital Technologies. *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Netw. Secur.* **2022**, *22*, 551–560.

Lazarte, E. G. F., M. T. J. Paccotaipe, R. C. R. Heredia, and T. M. V. Loyola. 2025. "Audiovisual Narrative in the Age of Artificial Intelligence: Advances, Trends and Challenges: A Systematic Review." *Journal of Educational and Social Research* 15 (1): 1. https://doi.org/10.36941/jesr-2025-0001.

Li, X.; Tan, W.H.; Zheng, X.; Dou, D.; Wang, Y.; Yang, H. Effects of digital monitoring and immediate feedback on physical activity and fitness in undergraduates. *Educ. Inf. Technol.* **2025**, *30*, 3743–3769.

Liu, J. 2024. "Analysis of the Impact of AI Editing on Film and Television Post-production in Digital Transformation." *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences* 9 (1): 1–17. https://doi.org/10.2478/amns-2024-2424.

Magro-Vela, S., P. Sánchez-López, and N. Navarro-Sierra. 2024. "The Revolution Will Be Artificial. An Analysis of AI-Generated Audio-Visual Creation." *Tripodos* 55: 75–98. https://doi.org/10.51698/tripodos.2024.55.05.Search in Google Scholar

Montiel-Ruiz, F.J.; Sánchez-Vera, M.D.M.; Solano-Fernández, I.M. Social networks and gamification in physical education: A case study. *Contemp. Educ. Technol.* **2023**, *15*, ep401.

Nesterov, V. 2023. "Integration of Artificial Intelligence Technologies in Data Engineering: Challenges and Prospects in the Modern Information Environment." *Bulletin of Cherkasy State Technological University* 28 (4): 82–92. https://doi.org/10.62660/2306-4412.4.2023.82-90.

Orak, C., and Z. Turan. 2024. "Using Artificial Intelligence in Digital Video Production: A Systematic Review Study." *Journal of Educational Technology and Online Learning* 7 (3): 286–307. https://doi.org/10.31681/jetol.1459434.

Peterson, C., and J. Broersen. 2024. "Understanding the Limits of Explainable Ethical AI." *International Journal on Artificial Intelligence Tools* 33 (3): 2460001. https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218213024600017.

Pietsch, J. 2024. "The Audiovisual Archive in an Era of Disinformation and Misinformation." *IEW Journal of European Television History and Culture* 25: 1–13. https://doi.org/10.25969/mediarep/23183.

Poulitsa, D.; Choustoulakis, E.; Travlos, A. Exploring the impact of ICT integration in physical education on enhancing emotional well-being in primary school students with psychosocial barriers. In Proceedings of the 9th International Technology, Education and Development Conference, Valencia, Spain, 3–5 March 2025; pp. 6897–6901.

Rama, H. H., V. H. Rama, and B. R. Rexhepi. 2023. "The Impact of Marketing and Technological Factors on the Quality, Safety and Sales of Wine." *Quality – Access to Success* 24 (196): 49–53. https://doi.org/10.47750/QAS/24.196.06.

Tagimaucia, V.; D'Souza, G.S.; Chand, S.P. 2024, Exploring online physical education teaching: What have we done and what have we learnt? *Int. Rev. Res. Open Distrib. Learn. 25*, 127–151.

Tallala, T. 2024. *Generative Artificial Intelligence, Content Creation and Intellectual Property Rights in Commercial Use: Crediting the Audiovisual Output*. Turku University of Applied Sciences. https://urn.fi/URN:NBN:fi:amk-2024062623863

Tariq, M.U.; Sergio, R.P. (2025) Innovative assessment techniques in physical education: Exploring technology-enhanced and student-centered models for holistic student development. In *Global Innovations in Physical Education and Health*; IGI Global: Hershey, PA, USA, pp. 85–112.